# New Population Estimates Show Slight Changes For 2008 Congressional Apportionment, But Point to Major Changes for 2010 

New Census Bureau population estimates released today show new changes are likely for four more states in their congressional representation compared to last year's population release. However, trends contained in the new data point towards new twists in population growth over the next two years and lead to a variety of potential scenarios by the time apportionment happens in 2010.

The 2008 population estimates shift two more congressional seats between four states than what was reported in last year's study of the 2007 estimates (see Election Data Services Inc., "New Population Estimates Continue Trend for Congressional Apportionment, But Point to Changes for 2010" December 27, 2007). The states of Michigan and New Jersey have lost or not gained as much population as earlier in the decade, and now have lost a congressional seat in the new study. The two seats shift to Missouri (to eliminate their loss reported last year) and Texas (which now would be adding three seats to their delegation). Overall, the new 2008 estimates show that eight congressional seats in 14 states have already changed at this point in the decade, if a new apportionment was made with the updated numbers. Five states-Arizona, Florida, Georgia, Nevada, and Utah-would each gain a seat and Texas would gain three seats if the U.S. House of Representatives were reapportioned with census population estimates for July 1, 2008, according to Election Data Services’ analysis. Eight states would lose seats-Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. Table A in this report shows the apportionment distribution for the 2008 estimates.

The 2007 estimates bring the data one year closer to the official census that will be taken in 2010. The new information has allowed Election Data Services, Inc. to generate 2010 population projections based on several different models of change that is apparent in the newly released data. First, there is a "long-term" trend model that reflects the overall change that has occurred so far this decade; that is from 2000 to 2008, and projects it to 2010. Second, there are
three "mid-term" trend models that use the population change that has occurred from 2004 to 2008, from 2005 to 2008 and from 2006 to 2008. Finally, a "short-term" trend model incorporates the change that has occurred in just the past year, from 2007 to 2008, and carries that rate of change forward to 2010.
"With the housing market downturn in the past two years, the onset of the recession this year, and an ever decreasing time before the actual Census, there are indications that the most immediate changes may well form the best clues of what we could find in 2010," said Kimball Brace, President of Election Data Services, Inc. "And the subtle changes between the five models point out how volatile the apportionment projections are for the last several seats," Brace noted.

The Pew Research Center also released a report on Friday ("American Mobility: Who Moves? Who Stays Put? Where's Home?", December 17, 2008) that documented only $13 \%$ of Americans changed residences between 2006 and 2007, the smallest share since the government began tracking this trend in the late 1940s. "This slowdown in mobility will also have a definite impact on the apportionment process this decade" said Brace.

The Census Bureau's state population estimates released today included updates to previous year's estimates in this decade. Election Data Services, Inc. used the new yearly estimates to calculate the annual population change in each of the five trend models for both nationwide and each state. The long-term trend of 2000 to 2008 showed a nationwide annual population increase of $1.005 \%$. However, using just the change from 2007 to 2008, the nation grew at only a $0.919 \%$ increase. This difference is reflective of the slowdown in population movement in the immediate past. Table B in this report shows the individual year's population estimates for all states released by the Census Bureau today. Table $C$ reports the annual population change for the five trend models, as well as the 2010 projected populations for each of the models.

Differences between the states and changes between the different models within a single state give important clues to population shifts that are occurring in the nation and which can have a strong impact on the apportionment process. Both Arizona and Nevada have shown an over 3\% annual population growth rate over the past eight years of this decade. However, both states’ growth rate declined by a third when using the "short-term" trend model, a reflection of the housing downturn that has been strongly felt in those two states. Louisiana has also seen significant changes over the decade, but the severe decline in the state's population as a result of Katrina in August, 2005 has been nearly made up in the 2008 estimates. The state is just short of where its population was reported in 2000 with these new estimates. Several states in the West show greater increases in 2007 to 2008 than in earlier years of the decade. This includes Washington, Oregon and Wyoming, the first two of which have impacts on their apportionment.

## 2010 Projections

All of the future population projections add to the list of states slated to gain and lose congressional seats that have been documented with the release of the 2008 estimates. In addition to those states listed above, each projection model adds an additional seat to the State of Texas (for a total of +4 by the time 2010 takes place) and one additional seat for Arizona (for a total of +2
in 2010). The State of Oregon appears that it will gain a congressional seat in 2010 based on the mid-term and short-term projection models, but it comes up short if the full eight years of change since 2000 are taken into account (long-term model). Florida appears it might gain a second additional seat, but only if the two longest term trends are taken into account. Florida would only stand to gain a single seat if the trends since 2005 and shorter are taken into account. In addition, the State of South Carolina would gain a single seat in 2010 based on all five population trend models. Change for the State of North Carolina is more tentative; it would pick up a seat in two of the mid-term projection models, but would fail to increase in both the long-term and short-term population models

Additional states scheduled to lose a single congressional representative based on all five models of the new 2010 projections include the states of Illinois, Minnesota, and Missouri. Also the State of Ohio would lose a second seat in all five projection models. Unlike previous years' studies, the State of New York appears it would only loose a single seat in all five of the projection models this year, a change from the loss of two seats previously projected. In all three of the "mid-term" trend models, California would actually lose a single seat, the first time it has ever shown a loss in congressional representation since it gained statehood more than 150 years ago. However, both the "long-term" and the "short-term" models show California staying with the same 53 congressional seats it currently has had since 2000.

The "long-term" trend model shows a total of 12 congressional seats would change in 2010, affecting 18 states (7 as gainers and 11 as losers). The "mid-term" model would change 13 congressional seats and impact 20 to 21 states, while the "short-term" model has 12 seats changing in 19 states. Table $D$ attached to this press release summarizes apportionment changes over the current decade, as well as the five projection models for 2010.

The Census Bureau released 2010 population projections in July 2005, and the projections were the subject of an earlier Election Data Services study. "Those projections, however, were created before Katrina, and do not reflect the population changes for Louisiana that have been released in the past two years," said Brace. At that time, the study projected that 10 congressional seats would be changed in 2010, affecting 15 states.

The 2008 population estimates have not been statistically adjusted for any known undercount. No estimates were provided for U.S. military personnel overseas. This component has in the past been counted by the Census Bureau and allocated to the states. Overseas military personnel have been a factor in the apportionment formula for the past several decades. As part of its research for this study, Election Data Services took the 2000 military overseas counts and added them to the Census Bureau's 2008 population estimates. However, there were no changes in the state allocations of congressional seats with the military overseas population added to the 2008 study.

The 2008 reapportionment analysis shows the margins by which congressional seats were allocated to the states, compared to the last congressional reapportionment in 2001 after the 2000 census. In the 2008 analysis, the last seat in the 435 -member House would go to Ohio, which holds onto its 17th congressional seat by a margin of only 20,886 people (this shows how close the state is to losing a second seat). Missouri received seat number 434, holding onto its last
(and $9^{\text {th }}$ ) seat by just 12,899 people. New Jersey, in position no. 436, would be next in line to gain a congressional seat, but lost their $13{ }^{\text {th }}$ seat by just 15,817 people. The 2008 data showed Oregon would have received seat number 437, just missing the gain of its $6^{\text {th }}$ seat by 24,475 people.

2008 Reapportionment Analysis

| 2008 Population Estimates |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Last | Five Seats | Margin of Gain |
| 431 | California $\left(53^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | 261,937 |
| 432 | Illinois $\left(9^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 45,631 |
| 433 | Minnesota $\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 18,223 |
| 434 | Missouri $\left(9^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 12,899 |
| 435 | Ohio $\left(17^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 20,886 |


| 2000 Census Population |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Last | Five Seats | Margin of Gain |
| 431 | Iowa (5th) | 44,338 |
| 432 | Florida (25th) | 242,934 |
| 433 | Ohio (18th) | 79,688 |
| 434 | California (53rd) | 33,942 |
| 435 | North Carolina (13th) | 3,087 |


| Next Seats | Margin of Loss | Next Seats |  | Margin of Loss |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 436 | New Jersey $\left(13^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 15,817 | 436 | Utah (4th) | 856 |
| 437 | Oregon $(6$ th) | 24,475 | 437 | New York (30th) | 47,249 |
| 438 | Florida (27th) | 123,918 | 438 | Texas (33rd) | 86,272 |
| 439 | South Carolina $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right.$ ) | 33,619 | 439 | Michigan (16th) | 50,888 |
| 440 | Washington $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 57,745 | 440 | Indiana (10th) | 37,056 |

The 2010 projection reapportionment analysis is shown in Table $E$ for the "long-term" trend model (2000-2008), in Table F for the "mid-term" trend model (2004-2008), Table G for the 2005-2008 trend, Table H for the 2006-2008 trend, and in Table I for the "short-term" trend model (2007-2008).

A review of the last 5 seats/ next 5 seats calculations demonstrates the extreme closeness and volatility inherent in the 2010 population projections. At this point in time there are 13 seats from the same number of states that are vying for the last six seats in the 435 member congressional chamber. Six states are close to gaining an additional seat (Florida $-27^{\text {th }}$; North Carolina $-14^{\text {th }}$; Oregon $-6^{\text {th }}:$ South Carolina $-7^{\text {th }}$; Texas $-36^{\text {th }}$ and Washington -10 th), while seven states are very close to loosing a seat (California - $53^{\text {rd }}$; Illinois $-19^{\text {th }}$; Louisiana $7^{\text {th }}$; Minnesota $-8^{\text {th }}$; Missouri $-9^{\text {th }}$; New York $-28^{\text {th }}$; Pennsylvania $-18^{\text {th }}$ ). Table $J$ shows the margin of population needed to gain the seat or the population by which the seat was lost for each of the 2010 population projections under the five different trend models. Some of the margins are the closest ever observed since Election Data Services, Inc. began doing apportionment studies nearly 30 years ago. For example, using the 2006 to 2008 trend analysis, Oregon would gain a $6^{\text {th }}$ seat (and the last one issued, number 435) with just 2 persons to spare. Using the same trend analysis, California would loose a seat (its $53^{\text {rd }}$ ) by a margin of only 18 people. "A number of states have much to gain or lose by very small margins in the upcoming Census" said Brace.

Election Data Services Inc. is a political consulting firm that specializes in redistricting, election administration, and the analysis of census and political data. Election Data Services conducts the congressional apportionment analyses with each annual release of the census population estimates. For more information about the reapportionment analysis, contact Kimball Brace
(202.789.2004 or kbrace@electiondataservices.com).

TABLE A
apportionment1_5_July2008.xls

| Using Census Bureau 2008 Estimates, released 12/22/2008; No Military Overseas factored in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | Compare To | Seats | Change | Gain a Seat | Lose a Seat | Last Seat Given | Next Seat At | Average Size | Size Rank |
| Alabama | 4,661,900 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 549,747 | 156,689 | 424 | 487 | 665,986 | 36 |
| Alaska | 686,293 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 629 | 686,293 | 34 |
| Arizona | 6,500,180 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 106,789 | 601,474 | 398 | 444 | 722,242 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 2,855,390 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 259,165 | 447,254 | 371 | 478 | 713,848 | 18 |
| California | 36,756,666 | 53 | 53 | 0 | 501,011 | 261,937 | 431 | 442 | 693,522 | 24 |
| Colorado | 4,939,456 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 272,191 | 434,245 | 401 | 464 | 705,637 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 3,501,252 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 313,283 | 392,361 | 390 | 475 | 700,250 | 9 |
| Delaware | 873,092 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 496 | 873,092 | 2 |
| Florida | 18,328,340 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 123,918 | 604,933 | 425 | 438 | 704,936 | 29 |
| Georgia | 9,685,744 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 406,567 | 307,399 | 426 | 455 | 691,839 | 22 |
| Hawaii | 1,288,198 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 417,714 | 305,081 | 337 | 579 | 644,099 | 44 |
| Idaho | 1,523,816 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 182,096 | 540,699 | 287 | 488 | 761,908 | 11 |
| Illinois | 12,901,563 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 674,466 | 45,631 | 432 | 462 | 679,030 | 28 |
| Indiana | 6,376,792 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 230,177 | 478,086 | 407 | 453 | 708,532 | 13 |
| lowa | 3,002,555 | 5 | 4 | -1 | 112,000 | 594,419 | 352 | 454 | 750,639 | 5 |
| Kansas | 2,802,134 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 312,421 | 393,998 | 377 | 483 | 700,534 | 20 |
| Kentucky | 4,269,245 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 244,174 | 461,647 | 393 | 465 | 711,541 | 14 |
| Louisiana | 4,410,796 | 7 | 6 | -1 | 102,623 | 603,198 | 380 | 449 | 735,133 | 37 |
| Maine | 1,316,456 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 389,456 | 333,339 | 327 | 562 | 658,228 | 30 |
| Maryland | 5,633,597 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 275,855 | 431,427 | 405 | 459 | 704,200 | 12 |
| Massachusetts | 6,497,967 | 10 | 9 | -1 | 109,002 | 599,261 | 399 | 445 | 721,996 | 38 |
| Michigan | 10,003,422 | 15 | 14 | -1 | 88,889 | 625,077 | 413 | 441 | 714,530 | 25 |
| Minnesota | 5,220,393 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 689,059 | 18,223 | 433 | 498 | 652,549 | 41 |
| Mississippi | 2,938,618 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 175,937 | 530,482 | 361 | 466 | 734,655 | 6 |
| Missouri | 5,911,605 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 695,364 | 12,899 | 434 | 486 | 656,845 | 39 |
| Montana | 967,440 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 446 | 967,440 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 1,783,432 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 629,092 | 80,623 | 421 | 592 | 594,477 | 48 |
| Nevada | 2,600,167 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 514,388 | 192,031 | 408 | 524 | 650,042 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 1,315,809 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 390,103 | 332,692 | 329 | 563 | 657,905 | 35 |
| New Jersey | 8,682,661 | 13 | 12 | -1 | 15,817 | 695,775 | 403 | 436 | 723,555 | 27 |
| New Mexico | 1,984,356 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 428,168 | 281,547 | 376 | 529 | 661,452 | 42 |
| New York | 19,490,297 | 29 | 28 | -1 | 355,063 | 376,304 | 429 | 447 | 696,082 | 19 |
| North Carolina | 9,222,414 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 173,016 | 539,754 | 417 | 448 | 709,416 | 32 |
| North Dakota | 641,481 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 659 | 641,481 | 43 |
| Ohio | 11,485,910 | 18 | 17 | -1 | 696,738 | 20,886 | 435 | 467 | 675,642 | 26 |
| Oklahoma | 3,642,361 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 172,174 | 533,470 | 375 | 458 | 728,472 | 8 |
| Oregon | 3,790,060 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 24,475 | 681,169 | 362 | 437 | 758,012 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 12,448,279 | 19 | 18 | -1 | 431,073 | 287,784 | 428 | 452 | 691,571 | 16 |
| Rhode Island | 1,050,788 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 655,124 | 67,671 | 411 | 699 | 525,394 | 49 |
| South Carolina | 4,479,800 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 33,619 | 672,202 | 373 | 439 | 746,633 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 804,194 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 535 | 804,194 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 6,214,888 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 392,081 | 316,182 | 420 | 468 | 690,543 | 33 |
| Texas | 24,326,974 | 32 | 35 | 3 | 394,038 | 346,155 | 430 | 443 | 695,056 | 21 |
| Utah | 2,736,424 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 378,131 | 328,288 | 389 | 499 | 684,106 | 47 |
| Vermont | 621,270 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 685 | 621,270 | 45 |
| Virginia | 7,769,089 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 232,347 | 478,093 | 415 | 450 | 706,281 | 23 |
| Washington | 6,549,224 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 57,745 | 650,518 | 397 | 440 | 727,692 | 15 |
| West Virginia | 1,814,468 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 598,056 | 111,659 | 416 | 581 | 604,823 | 46 |
| Wisconsin | 5,627,967 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 281,485 | 425,797 | 406 | 460 | 703,496 | 17 |
| Wyoming | 532,668 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 786 | 532,668 | 50 |
| Washington DC | 591,833 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs: | Seats to Apportion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 435 | Max Seats to C | alculate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Gamma$ Include Wash | hinaton DC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B
apportionment1_5_July2008R.xls
Pop Esimates

| STATE | NAME | CENSUS2000 POP | ESTIMATESB ASE2000 | POPESTIMA <br> TE2000 | POPESTIMAT <br> E2001 | POPESTIMAT E2002 | POPESTIMAT E2003 | POPESTIMAT <br> E2004 | POPESTIMAT <br> E2005 | POPESTIMAT <br> E2006 | POPESTIMAT E2007 | POPESTIMAT E2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | United States | 281,421,906 | 281,424,602 | 282,171,936 | 285,039,803 | 287,726,647 | 290,210,914 | 292,892,127 | 295,560,549 | 298,362,973 | 301,290,332 | 304,059,724 |
| 1 | Alabama | 4,447,100 | 4,447,355 | 4,451,687 | 4,462,832 | 4,469,906 | 4,486,598 | 4,506,574 | 4,537,299 | 4,587,564 | 4,626,595 | 4,661,900 |
| 2 | Alaska | 626,932 | 626,931 | 627,428 | 633,160 | 642,391 | 650,426 | 660,975 | 668,625 | 676,301 | 681,111 | 686,293 |
| 4 | Arizona | 5,130,632 | 5,130,607 | 5,166,810 | 5,303,632 | 5,449,195 | 5,585,512 | 5,750,475 | 5,961,239 | 6,178,251 | 6,353,421 | 6,500,180 |
| 5 | Arkansas | 2,673,400 | 2,673,386 | 2,678,217 | 2,689,601 | 2,701,889 | 2,717,909 | 2,740,191 | 2,768,918 | 2,804,199 | 2,830,557 | 2,855,390 |
| 6 | California | 33,871,648 | 33,871,650 | 33,998,767 | 34,507,030 | 34,916,495 | 35,307,398 | 35,629,666 | 35,885,415 | 36,121,296 | 36,377,534 | 36,756,666 |
| 8 | Colorado | 4,301,261 | 4,302,015 | 4,327,788 | 4,431,918 | 4,503,156 | 4,548,339 | 4,600,050 | 4,662,734 | 4,751,474 | 4,842,770 | 4,939,456 |
| 9 | Connecticut | 3,405,565 | 3,405,604 | 3,411,714 | 3,428,208 | 3,448,261 | 3,467,932 | 3,475,351 | 3,478,714 | 3,487,896 | 3,489,868 | 3,501,252 |
| 10 | Delaware | 783,600 | 783,595 | 786,404 | 794,498 | 803,774 | 814,262 | 825,682 | 838,519 | 850,366 | 861,953 | 873,092 |
| 12 | Florida | 15,982,378 | 15,982,813 | 16,047,246 | 16,340,734 | 16,652,679 | 16,937,337 | 17,313,811 | 17,702,476 | 18,019,093 | 18,199,526 | 18,328,340 |
| 13 | Georgia | 8,186,453 | 8,186,812 | 8,230,053 | 8,418,592 | 8,583,674 | 8,732,924 | 8,910,741 | 9,093,958 | 9,318,715 | 9,523,297 | 9,685,744 |
| 15 | Hawaii | 1,211,537 | 1,211,538 | 1,211,479 | 1,217,955 | 1,227,391 | 1,238,333 | 1,251,532 | 1,264,468 | 1,275,264 | 1,277,356 | 1,288,198 |
| 16 | Idaho | 1,293,953 | 1,293,955 | 1,299,474 | 1,320,732 | 1,341,408 | 1,363,010 | 1,390,329 | 1,424,127 | 1,461,183 | 1,496,145 | 1,523,816 |
| 17 | Illinois | 12,419,293 | 12,419,660 | 12,437,888 | 12,510,596 | 12,565,228 | 12,611,047 | 12,665,718 | 12,704,063 | 12,759,673 | 12,825,809 | 12,901,563 |
| 18 | Indiana | 6,080,485 | 6,080,522 | 6,091,392 | 6,123,942 | 6,146,974 | 6,178,828 | 6,210,801 | 6,248,569 | 6,294,124 | 6,335,862 | 6,376,792 |
| 19 | Iowa | 2,926,324 | 2,926,381 | 2,928,046 | 2,929,294 | 2,929,395 | 2,933,407 | 2,942,739 | 2,951,775 | 2,967,270 | 2,983,360 | 3,002,555 |
| 20 | Kansas | 2,688,418 | 2,688,816 | 2,692,681 | 2,701,346 | 2,712,561 | 2,722,070 | 2,731,069 | 2,742,204 | 2,756,267 | 2,777,382 | 2,802,134 |
| 21 | Kentucky | 4,041,769 | 4,042,284 | 4,048,831 | 4,066,442 | 4,086,754 | 4,110,922 | 4,135,567 | 4,165,958 | 4,199,440 | 4,236,308 | 4,269,245 |
| 22 | Louisiana | 4,468,976 | 4,468,968 | 4,468,879 | 4,460,395 | 4,465,215 | 4,473,558 | 4,487,830 | 4,495,627 | 4,243,634 | 4,373,310 | 4,410,796 |
| 23 | Maine | 1,274,923 | 1,274,922 | 1,277,179 | 1,284,663 | 1,293,667 | 1,302,729 | 1,307,904 | 1,311,044 | 1,313,355 | 1,315,398 | 1,316,456 |
| 24 | Maryland | 5,296,486 | 5,296,516 | 5,310,451 | 5,375,659 | 5,439,327 | 5,495,009 | 5,538,989 | 5,575,552 | 5,602,258 | 5,618,899 | 5,633,597 |
| 25 | Massachusetts | 6,349,097 | 6,349,113 | 6,362,583 | 6,407,269 | 6,433,043 | 6,441,440 | 6,437,414 | 6,434,343 | 6,443,424 | 6,467,915 | 6,497,967 |
| 26 | Michigan | 9,938,444 | 9,938,492 | 9,955,146 | 10,004,341 | 10,037,303 | 10,065,881 | 10,090,280 | 10,093,266 | 10,083,878 | 10,049,790 | 10,003,422 |
| 27 | Minnesota | 4,919,479 | 4,919,492 | 4,933,787 | 4,982,339 | 5,016,643 | 5,046,708 | 5,078,014 | 5,104,890 | 5,143,134 | 5,182,360 | 5,220,393 |
| 28 | Mississippi | 2,844,658 | 2,844,666 | 2,848,293 | 2,853,061 | 2,858,013 | 2,866,711 | 2,884,596 | 2,898,209 | 2,896,713 | 2,921,030 | 2,938,618 |
| 29 | Missouri | 5,595,211 | 5,596,678 | 5,605,868 | 5,641,994 | 5,675,641 | 5,704,639 | 5,742,650 | 5,785,130 | 5,832,977 | 5,878,399 | 5,911,605 |
| 30 | Montana | 902,195 | 902,190 | 903,283 | 905,854 | 909,859 | 916,754 | 925,969 | 934,888 | 945,428 | 956,624 | 967,440 |
| 31 | Nebraska | 1,711,263 | 1,711,266 | 1,713,194 | 1,717,705 | 1,724,236 | 1,732,873 | 1,741,450 | 1,751,069 | 1,759,779 | 1,769,473 | 1,783,432 |
| 32 | Nevada | 1,998,257 | 1,998,257 | 2,018,244 | 2,093,973 | 2,164,518 | 2,233,830 | 2,323,875 | 2,401,671 | 2,484,196 | 2,554,344 | 2,600,167 |
| 33 | New Hampshir | 1,235,786 | 1,235,785 | 1,240,361 | 1,256,625 | 1,270,701 | 1,281,260 | 1,292,064 | 1,300,530 | 1,308,824 | 1,312,256 | 1,315,809 |
| 34 | New Jersey | 8,414,350 | 8,414,360 | 8,430,913 | 8,490,942 | 8,547,410 | 8,589,562 | 8,620,770 | 8,634,657 | 8,640,218 | 8,653,126 | 8,682,661 |
| 35 | New Mexico | 1,819,046 | 1,819,041 | 1,820,704 | 1,828,330 | 1,848,986 | 1,867,909 | 1,889,266 | 1,912,884 | 1,937,916 | 1,964,402 | 1,984,356 |
| 36 | New York | 18,976,457 | 18,976,816 | 18,998,429 | 19,088,220 | 19,161,573 | 19,230,877 | 19,301,113 | 19,336,376 | 19,367,028 | 19,429,316 | 19,490,297 |
| 37 | North Carolina | 8,049,313 | 8,046,500 | 8,078,824 | 8,199,913 | 8,311,263 | 8,409,660 | 8,523,199 | 8,661,061 | 8,845,343 | 9,041,594 | 9,222,414 |
| 38 | North Dakota | 642,200 | 642,195 | 641,183 | 636,211 | 633,521 | 632,689 | 636,196 | 635,222 | 636,453 | 637,904 | 641,481 |
| 39 | Ohio | 11,353,140 | 11,353,160 | 11,363,719 | 11,391,298 | 11,410,582 | 11,430,306 | 11,445,095 | 11,450,954 | 11,458,390 | 11,477,641 | 11,485,910 |
| 40 | Oklahoma | 3,450,654 | 3,450,640 | 3,453,861 | 3,463,387 | 3,482,946 | 3,496,157 | 3,511,960 | 3,530,087 | 3,568,132 | 3,608,123 | 3,642,361 |
| 41 | Oregon | 3,421,399 | 3,421,437 | 3,430,828 | 3,470,716 | 3,517,982 | 3,551,877 | 3,576,262 | 3,621,939 | 3,680,968 | 3,735,549 | 3,790,060 |
| 42 | Pennsylvania | 12,281,054 | 12,281,052 | 12,285,041 | 12,284,522 | 12,298,775 | 12,317,647 | 12,335,652 | 12,351,881 | 12,388,055 | 12,419,930 | 12,448,279 |
| 44 | Rhode Island | 1,048,319 | 1,048,319 | 1,050,725 | 1,058,065 | 1,065,937 | 1,071,302 | 1,071,095 | 1,064,439 | 1,058,991 | 1,053,136 | 1,050,788 |
| 45 | South Carolina | 4,012,012 | 4,011,809 | 4,023,396 | 4,061,844 | 4,102,211 | 4,143,420 | 4,196,799 | 4,249,385 | 4,324,799 | 4,404,914 | 4,479,800 |
| 46 | South Dakota | 754,844 | 754,837 | 755,657 | 758,705 | 761,709 | 766,440 | 773,539 | 779,315 | 787,380 | 795,689 | 804,194 |
| 47 | Tennessee | 5,689,283 | 5,689,270 | 5,703,094 | 5,753,497 | 5,799,093 | 5,849,563 | 5,906,936 | 5,983,211 | 6,068,306 | 6,149,116 | 6,214,888 |
| 48 | Texas | 20,851,820 | 20,851,811 | 20,946,049 | 21,333,928 | 21,713,397 | 22,062,119 | 22,424,884 | 22,811,128 | 23,367,534 | 23,843,432 | 24,326,974 |
| 49 | Utah | 2,233,169 | 2,233,204 | 2,244,210 | 2,291,066 | 2,334,462 | 2,380,462 | 2,439,852 | 2,501,262 | 2,585,155 | 2,668,925 | 2,736,424 |
| 50 | Vermont | 608,827 | 608,826 | 609,876 | 612,134 | 614,994 | 616,702 | 618,432 | 619,282 | 620,196 | 620,748 | 621,270 |
| 51 | Virginia | 7,078,515 | 7,079,025 | 7,104,354 | 7,188,251 | 7,276,785 | 7,363,300 | 7,454,688 | 7,546,725 | 7,628,347 | 7,698,775 | 7,769,089 |
| 53 | Washington | 5,894,121 | 5,894,143 | 5,911,104 | 5,987,181 | 6,055,613 | 6,110,202 | 6,179,645 | 6,254,579 | 6,360,529 | 6,449,511 | 6,549,224 |
| 54 | West Virginia | 1,808,344 | 1,808,345 | 1,806,977 | 1,798,540 | 1,799,392 | 1,802,287 | 1,803,312 | 1,804,020 | 1,806,760 | 1,809,836 | 1,814,468 |
| 55 | Wisconsin | 5,363,675 | 5,363,708 | 5,374,133 | 5,408,061 | 5,444,638 | 5,474,360 | 5,508,789 | 5,538,806 | 5,568,505 | 5,598,893 | 5,627,967 |
| 56 | Wyoming | 493,782 | 493,782 | 493,963 | 492,924 | 496,969 | 499,056 | 502,816 | 506,007 | 512,573 | 523,252 | 532,668 |
| 11 | District of Colu | 572,059 | 572,053 | 571,723 | 577,678 | 579,112 | 577,371 | 579,521 | 582,049 | 585,419 | 587,868 | 591,833 |


| STATE | NAME | CH00-08 | CH04-08 | CH05-08 | CH06-08 | CH07-08 | 2010P00-08 | 2010P04-08 | 2010P05-08 | 2010P06-08 | 2010P07-08 | State | CB Projection 2010 released in 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | United States | 1.005\% | 0.953\% | 0.959\% | 0.955\% | 0.919\% | 310,173,643 | 309,856,425 | 309,888,776 | 309,865,245 | 309,649,419 | United States | 309,509,911 |
| 1 | Alabama | 0.603\% | 0.862\% | 0.915\% | 0.810\% | 0.763\% | 4,718,124 | 4,742,240 | 4,747,248 | 4,737,441 | 4,733,049 | Alabama | 4,610,360 |
| 2 | Alaska | 1.184\% | 0.958\% | 0.881\% | 0.739\% | 0.761\% | 702,539 | 699,437 | 698,383 | 696,433 | 696,736 | Alaska | 696,110 |
| 4 | Arizona | 3.337\% | 3.259\% | 3.014\% | 2.605\% | 2.310\% | 6,933,972 | 6,923,903 | 6,891,957 | 6,838,884 | 6,800,478 | Arizona | 6,647,432 |
| 5 | Arkansas | 0.851\% | 1.051\% | 1.041\% | 0.913\% | 0.877\% | 2,903,989 | 2,915,411 | 2,914,838 | 2,907,515 | 2,905,492 | Arkansas | 2,881,372 |
| 6 | California | 1.065\% | 0.791\% | 0.809\% | 0.879\% | 1.042\% | 37,539,353 | 37,337,990 | 37,351,602 | 37,403,212 | 37,522,833 | California | 38,126,284 |
| 8 | Colorado | 1.852\% | 1.845\% | 1.978\% | 1.978\% | 1.997\% | 5,122,429 | 5,121,680 | 5,134,886 | 5,134,875 | 5,136,689 | Colorado | 4,842,175 |
| 9 | Connecticut | 0.351\% | 0.186\% | 0.216\% | 0.191\% | 0.326\% | 3,525,836 | 3,514,299 | 3,516,375 | 3,514,659 | 3,524,094 | Connecticut | 3,581,460 |
| 10 | Delaware | 1.428\% | 1.435\% | 1.374\% | 1.336\% | 1.292\% | 898,022 | 898,158 | 897,091 | 896,425 | 895,658 | Delaware | 885,810 |
| 12 | Florida | 1.834\% | 1.465\% | 1.178\% | 0.858\% | 0.708\% | 19,000,775 | 18,865,328 | 18,760,334 | 18,642,894 | 18,587,791 | Florida | 19,298,203 |
| 13 | Georgia | 2.289\% | 2.174\% | 2.169\% | 1.969\% | 1.706\% | 10,129,087 | 10,106,948 | 10,105,942 | 10,067,229 | 10,016,180 | Georgia | 9,609,602 |
| 15 | Hawaii | 0.791\% | 0.732\% | 0.626\% | 0.507\% | 0.849\% | 1,308,576 | 1,307,068 | 1,304,315 | 1,301,263 | 1,310,066 | Hawaii | 1,345,779 |
| 16 | Idaho | 2.221\% | 2.400\% | 2.333\% | 2.143\% | 1.849\% | 1,591,490 | 1,596,968 | 1,594,927 | 1,589,134 | 1,580,182 | Idaho | 1,520,612 |
| 17 | Illinois | 0.485\% | 0.466\% | 0.518\% | 0.556\% | 0.591\% | 13,026,713 | 13,021,681 | 13,035,277 | 13,045,031 | 13,053,966 | Illinois | 12,936,643 |
| 18 | Indiana | 0.609\% | 0.668\% | 0.684\% | 0.657\% | 0.646\% | 6,454,468 | 6,462,006 | 6,464,028 | 6,460,546 | 6,459,181 | Indiana | 6,402,436 |
| 19 | Iowa | 0.325\% | 0.508\% | 0.573\% | 0.595\% | 0.643\% | 3,022,094 | 3,033,071 | 3,036,991 | 3,038,260 | 3,041,192 | Iowa | 3,015,506 |
| 20 | Kansas | 0.527\% | 0.651\% | 0.728\% | 0.832\% | 0.891\% | 2,831,657 | 2,838,591 | 2,842,961 | 2,848,764 | 2,852,079 | Kansas | 2,810,876 |
| 21 | Kentucky | 0.702\% | 0.808\% | 0.826\% | 0.831\% | 0.777\% | 4,329,171 | 4,338,245 | 4,339,810 | 4,340,210 | 4,335,631 | Kentucky | 4,272,779 |
| 22 | Louisiana | -0.163\% | -0.429\% | -0.629\% | 1.970\% | 0.857\% | 4,396,442 | 4,372,940 | 4,355,309 | 4,584,543 | 4,486,411 | Louisiana | 4,623,974 |
| 23 | Maine | 0.407\% | 0.163\% | 0.138\% | 0.118\% | 0.080\% | 1,327,178 | 1,320,760 | 1,320,079 | 1,319,564 | 1,318,574 | Maine | 1,359,942 |
| 24 | Maryland | 0.796\% | 0.427\% | 0.347\% | 0.280\% | 0.262\% | 5,723,230 | 5,681,709 | 5,672,697 | 5,665,111 | 5,663,070 | Maryland | 5,916,370 |
| 25 | Massachusetts | 0.293\% | 0.235\% | 0.330\% | 0.423\% | 0.465\% | 6,536,053 | 6,528,528 | 6,540,802 | 6,552,972 | 6,558,350 | Massachuset | 6,655,912 |
| 26 | Michigan | 0.082\% | -0.215\% | -0.297\% | -0.399\% | -0.461\% | 10,019,761 | 9,960,367 | 9,944,059 | 9,923,608 | 9,911,114 | Michigan | 10,446,068 |
| 27 | Minnesota | 0.765\% | 0.701\% | 0.754\% | 0.751\% | 0.734\% | 5,300,219 | 5,293,579 | 5,299,137 | 5,298,813 | 5,297,017 | Minnesota | 5,426,827 |
| 28 | Mississippi | 0.413\% | 0.468\% | 0.465\% | 0.723\% | 0.602\% | 2,962,882 | 2,966,135 | 2,965,933 | 2,981,129 | 2,974,006 | Mississippi | 2,979,681 |
| 29 | Missouri | 0.703\% | 0.736\% | 0.729\% | 0.674\% | 0.565\% | 5,994,767 | 5,998,568 | 5,997,765 | 5,991,293 | 5,978,392 | Missouri | 5,933,127 |
| 30 | Montana | 0.904\% | 1.120\% | 1.161\% | 1.164\% | 1.131\% | 984,932 | 989,104 | 989,897 | 989,964 | 989,317 | Montana | 971,719 |
| 31 | Nebraska | 0.527\% | 0.603\% | 0.616\% | 0.672\% | 0.789\% | 1,802,234 | 1,804,929 | 1,805,406 | 1,807,403 | 1,811,570 | Nebraska | 1,773,103 |
| 32 | Nevada | 3.765\% | 2.972\% | 2.755\% | 2.334\% | 1.794\% | 2,795,971 | 2,754,738 | 2,743,435 | 2,721,552 | 2,693,457 | Nevada | 2,694,306 |
| 33 | New Hampshire | 0.809\% | 0.459\% | 0.392\% | 0.267\% | 0.271\% | 1,337,111 | 1,327,900 | 1,326,115 | 1,322,831 | 1,322,934 | New Hampsh | 1,388,189 |
| 34 | New Jersey | 0.399\% | 0.179\% | 0.185\% | 0.246\% | 0.341\% | 8,751,875 | 8,713,829 | 8,714,842 | 8,725,312 | 8,741,933 | New Jersey | 9,028,235 |
| 35 | New Mexico | 1.136\% | 1.258\% | 1.245\% | 1.198\% | 1.016\% | 2,029,441 | 2,034,294 | 2,033,784 | 2,031,909 | 2,024,669 | New Mexico | 1,985,000 |
| 36 | New York | 0.338\% | 0.245\% | 0.265\% | 0.318\% | 0.314\% | 19,622,141 | 19,585,816 | 19,593,728 | 19,614,351 | 19,612,642 | New York | 19,472,188 |
| 37 | North Carolina | 1.827\% | 2.051\% | 2.160\% | 2.131\% | 2.000\% | 9,559,354 | 9,600,702 | 9,620,905 | 9,615,559 | 9,591,286 | North Carolin | 9,364,183 |
| 38 | North Dakota | -0.014\% | 0.208\% | 0.328\% | 0.395\% | 0.561\% | 641,303 | 644,145 | 645,695 | 646,549 | 648,675 | North Dakota | 638,179 |
| 39 | Ohio | 0.146\% | 0.089\% | 0.102\% | 0.120\% | 0.072\% | 11,519,486 | 11,506,390 | 11,509,285 | 11,513,496 | 11,502,460 | Ohio | 11,597,581 |
| 40 | Oklahoma | 0.695\% | 0.928\% | 1.060\% | 1.040\% | 0.949\% | 3,692,954 | 3,709,982 | 3,719,591 | 3,718,134 | 3,711,487 | Oklahoma | 3,599,681 |
| 41 | Oregon | 1.347\% | 1.495\% | 1.547\% | 1.482\% | 1.459\% | 3,892,145 | 3,903,350 | 3,907,343 | 3,902,385 | 3,900,673 | Oregon | 3,798,140 |
| 42 | Pennsylvania | 0.170\% | 0.228\% | 0.260\% | 0.243\% | 0.228\% | 12,490,655 | 12,505,107 | 12,513,046 | 12,508,796 | 12,505,106 | Pennsylvania | 12,604,103 |
| 44 | Rhode Island | 0.029\% | -0.474\% | -0.427\% | -0.387\% | -0.223\% | 1,051,407 | 1,040,827 | 1,041,804 | 1,042,649 | 1,046,102 | Rhode Island | 1,117,995 |
| 45 | South Carolina | 1.458\% | 1.686\% | 1.807\% | 1.792\% | 1.700\% | 4,610,446 | 4,630,842 | 4,641,739 | 4,640,356 | 4,632,118 | South Carolir | 4,459,753 |
| 46 | South Dakota | 0.817\% | 0.991\% | 1.064\% | 1.068\% | 1.069\% | 817,340 | 820,129 | 821,309 | 821,367 | 821,386 | South Dakotz | 788,429 |
| 47 | Tennessee | 1.155\% | 1.303\% | 1.291\% | 1.208\% | 1.070\% | 6,358,433 | 6,376,891 | 6,375,320 | 6,365,011 | 6,347,839 | Tennessee | 6,241,606 |
| 48 | Texas | 2.083\% | 2.121\% | 2.215\% | 2.053\% | 2.028\% | 25,340,557 | 25,358,687 | 25,404,692 | 25,325,807 | 25,313,670 | Texas | 24,701,062 |
| 49 | Utah | 2.817\% | 3.039\% | 3.134\% | 2.926\% | 2.529\% | 2,890,577 | 2,902,735 | 2,907,938 | 2,896,544 | 2,874,836 | Utah | 2,598,558 |
| 50 | Vermont | 0.255\% | 0.115\% | 0.107\% | 0.087\% | 0.084\% | 624,445 | 622,696 | 622,600 | 622,346 | 622,315 | Vermont | 653,575 |
| 51 | Virginia | 1.219\% | 1.054\% | 0.982\% | 0.922\% | 0.913\% | 7,958,422 | 7,932,919 | 7,921,700 | 7,912,428 | 7,911,001 | Virginia | 8,032,432 |
| 53 | Washington | 1.389\% | 1.495\% | 1.570\% | 1.483\% | 1.546\% | 6,731,196 | 6,745,065 | 6,754,908 | 6,743,517 | 6,751,733 | Washington | 6,556,526 |
| 54 | West Virginia | 0.042\% | 0.155\% | 0.193\% | 0.213\% | 0.256\% | 1,816,004 | 1,820,081 | 1,821,474 | 1,822,209 | 1,823,756 | West Virginia | 1,833,874 |
| 55 | Wisconsin | 0.616\% | 0.541\% | 0.537\% | 0.534\% | 0.519\% | 5,697,287 | 5,688,845 | 5,688,365 | 5,688,064 | 5,686,417 | Wisconsin | 5,734,961 |
| 56 | Wyoming | 0.984\% | 1.484\% | 1.756\% | 1.960\% | 1.800\% | 543,155 | 548,480 | 551,378 | 553,551 | 551,839 | Wyoming | 521,408 |
| 11 | District of Colum | 0.432\% | 0.531\% | 0.560\% | 0.548\% | 0.674\% | 596,949 | 598,120 | 598,465 | 598,317 | 599,816 | Washington [ | 529,785 |

TABLE D
2000DecadeAnalysis2008.xls

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & \text { Census } \end{aligned}$ | 2002 <br> Estimates | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \\ \text { Estimates } \end{gathered}$ | 2004 Estimates | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { Estimates } \end{gathered}$ | 2006 Estimates | 2007 <br> Estimates | 2008 Estimates | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { Proj. } \\ 00-07 \text { Trend } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2010 Proj. 04-08 Trend | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Proj. } \\ & \text { 05-08 Trend } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Proj. } \\ & \text { 06-08 Trend } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Proj. } \\ & \text { 07-08 Trend } \end{aligned}$ | CB's 2010 Projections |
| Released | Apr-01 | Dec-02 | Dec-03 | Dec-04 | Dec-05 | Dec-06 | Dec-07 | Dec-08 | Dec-08 | Dec-08 | Dec-08 | Dec-08 | Dec-08 | Jul-05 |
| Alabama | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| Alaska | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| California | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| Colorado | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Connecticut | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Georgia | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Indiana | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lowa | 5 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Kansas | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kentucky | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| Maine | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Michigan | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| Minnesota | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| Mississippi | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Montana | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nebraska | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nevada | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| New Mexico | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New York | 29 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| North Carolina | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | 18 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 |
| Oklahoma | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | 19 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Rhode Island | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| South Dakota | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | 32 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Utah | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vermont | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Virginia | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington DC | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impacted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of states |  | 4 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 15 |
| Number of seats |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE E
apportionment1_5_July2008R.xls

| State | Population | Compare To | Seats | Change | Gain a Seat | Lose a Seat | Last Seat Given | Next Seat At | Average Size | Size Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 4,718,124 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 605,561 | 112,877 | 429 | 495 | 674,018 | 36 |
| Alaska | 702,539 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 626 | 702,539 | 34 |
| Arizona | 6,933,972 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 527,330 | 192,581 | 427 | 471 | 693,397 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 2,903,989 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 277,522 | 442,381 | 372 | 478 | 725,997 | 18 |
| California | 37,539,353 | 53 | 53 | 0 | 519,274 | 234,276 | 431 | 442 | 708,290 | 24 |
| Colorado | 5,122,429 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 201,256 | 517,182 | 396 | 454 | 731,776 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 3,525,836 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 370,703 | 347,913 | 400 | 483 | 705,167 | 9 |
| Delaware | 898,022 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 491 | 898,022 | 2 |
| Florida | 19,000,775 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 559,691 | 173,092 | 430 | 450 | 703,732 | 29 |
| Georgia | 10,129,087 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 180,184 | 542,501 | 415 | 444 | 723,506 | 22 |
| Hawaii | 1,308,576 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 434,010 | 303,629 | 338 | 582 | 654,288 | 44 |
| Idaho | 1,591,490 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 151,096 | 586,543 | 282 | 477 | 795,745 | 11 |
| Illinois | 13,026,713 | 19 | 18 | -1 | 129,514 | 596,201 | 418 | 441 | 723,706 | 28 |
| Indiana | 6,454,468 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 294,534 | 424,785 | 410 | 455 | 717,163 | 13 |
| lowa | 3,022,094 | 5 | 4 | -1 | 159,416 | 560,486 | 355 | 459 | 755,524 | 5 |
| Kansas | 2,831,657 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 349,853 | 370,050 | 382 | 492 | 707,914 | 20 |
| Kentucky | 4,329,171 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 281,275 | 437,027 | 397 | 466 | 721,529 | 14 |
| Louisiana | 4,396,442 | 7 | 6 | -1 | 214,004 | 504,298 | 390 | 456 | 732,740 | 37 |
| Maine | 1,327,178 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 415,407 | 322,231 | 331 | 573 | 663,589 | 30 |
| Maryland | 5,723,230 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 313,261 | 405,549 | 407 | 461 | 715,404 | 12 |
| Massachusetts | 6,536,053 | 10 | 9 | -1 | 212,949 | 506,369 | 404 | 451 | 726,228 | 38 |
| Michigan | 10,019,761 | 15 | 14 | -1 | 289,510 | 433,174 | 422 | 449 | 715,697 | 25 |
| Minnesota | 5,300,219 | 8 | 7 | -1 | 23,465 | 694,972 | 381 | 439 | 757,174 | 41 |
| Mississippi | 2,962,882 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 218,629 | 501,274 | 364 | 470 | 740,720 | 6 |
| Missouri | 5,994,767 | 9 | 8 | -1 | 41,724 | 677,086 | 392 | 440 | 749,346 | 39 |
| Montana | 984,932 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 446 | 984,932 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 1,802,234 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 662,153 | 61,615 | 425 | 597 | 600,745 | 48 |
| Nevada | 2,795,971 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 385,539 | 334,363 | 387 | 497 | 698,993 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 1,337,111 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 405,475 | 332,164 | 330 | 567 | 668,555 | 35 |
| New Jersey | 8,751,875 | 13 | 12 | -1 | 133,599 | 587,644 | 408 | 443 | 729,323 | 27 |
| New Mexico | 2,029,441 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 434,947 | 288,821 | 378 | 529 | 676,480 | 42 |
| New York | 19,622,141 | 29 | 28 | -1 | 649,846 | 83,731 | 434 | 453 | 700,791 | 19 |
| North Carolina | 9,559,354 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 38,055 | 683,900 | 406 | 438 | 735,335 | 32 |
| North Dakota | 641,303 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 677 | 641,303 | 43 |
| Ohio | 11,519,486 | 18 | 16 | -2 | 213,344 | 510,839 | 419 | 445 | 719,968 | 26 |
| Oklahoma | 3,692,954 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 203,584 | 515,032 | 379 | 462 | 738,591 | 8 |
| Oregon | 3,892,145 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 4,394 | 714,222 | 358 | 436 | 778,429 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 12,490,655 | 19 | 18 | -1 | 665,572 | 60,143 | 432 | 460 | 693,925 | 16 |
| Rhode Island | 1,051,407 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 691,179 | 46,460 | 420 | 711 | 525,703 | 49 |
| South Carolina | 4,610,446 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 713,239 | 5,199 | 435 | 505 | 658,635 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 817,340 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 539 | 817,340 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 6,358,433 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 390,570 | 328,749 | 416 | 464 | 706,493 | 33 |
| Texas | 25,340,557 | 32 | 36 | 4 | 623,371 | 116,578 | 433 | 447 | 703,904 | 21 |
| Utah | 2,890,577 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 290,933 | 428,969 | 375 | 481 | 722,644 | 47 |
| Vermont | 624,445 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 695 | 624,445 | 45 |
| Virginia | 7,958,422 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 215,026 | 505,533 | 411 | 448 | 723,493 | 23 |
| Washington | 6,731,196 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 17,806 | 701,512 | 395 | 437 | 747,911 | 15 |
| West Virginia | 1,816,004 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 648,384 | 75,384 | 423 | 591 | 605,335 | 46 |
| Wisconsin | 5,697,287 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 339,205 | 379,605 | 409 | 463 | 712,161 | 17 |
| Wyoming | 543,155 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 787 | 543,155 | 50 |
| Washington DC | 596,949 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs: | Seats to Apportion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 435 | Max Seats to Calculate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Include Washinaton DC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE F
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TABLE G
apportionment1_5_July2008R05_08Trend.xls

| State | Population | Compare To | Seats | Change | Gain a Seat | Lose a Seat | Last Seat Given | Next Seat At | Average Size | Size Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 4,747,248 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 585,489 | 132,769 | 427 | 491 | 678,178 | 36 |
| Alaska | 698,383 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 627 | 698,383 | 34 |
| Arizona | 6,891,957 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 582,034 | 137,050 | 428 | 474 | 689,196 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 2,914,838 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 272,082 | 448,295 | 368 | 476 | 728,710 | 18 |
| California | 37,351,602 | 53 | 52 | -1 | 59,094 | 683,797 | 429 | 437 | 718,300 | 24 |
| Colorado | 5,134,886 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 197,852 | 520,406 | 396 | 452 | 733,555 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 3,516,375 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 386,790 | 332,081 | 400 | 484 | 703,275 | 9 |
| Delaware | 897,091 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 492 | 897,091 | 2 |
| Florida | 18,760,334 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 120,655 | 607,066 | 426 | 439 | 721,551 | 29 |
| Georgia | 10,105,942 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 220,860 | 500,135 | 416 | 446 | 721,853 | 22 |
| Hawaii | 1,304,315 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 441,234 | 297,353 | 338 | 583 | 652,157 | 44 |
| Idaho | 1,594,927 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 150,621 | 587,966 | 282 | 477 | 797,464 | 11 |
| Illinois | 13,035,277 | 19 | 18 | -1 | 143,322 | 579,843 | 417 | 441 | 724,182 | 28 |
| Indiana | 6,464,028 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 296,451 | 422,256 | 407 | 455 | 718,225 | 13 |
| lowa | 3,036,991 | 5 | 4 | -1 | 149,930 | 570,448 | 352 | 457 | 759,248 | 5 |
| Kansas | 2,842,961 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 343,960 | 376,418 | 381 | 490 | 710,740 | 20 |
| Kentucky | 4,339,810 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 278,476 | 439,863 | 395 | 464 | 723,302 | 14 |
| Louisiana | 4,355,309 | 7 | 6 | -1 | 262,977 | 455,362 | 393 | 461 | 725,885 | 37 |
| Maine | 1,320,079 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 425,470 | 313,117 | 333 | 576 | 660,039 | 30 |
| Maryland | 5,672,697 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 374,060 | 344,354 | 412 | 466 | 709,087 | 12 |
| Massachusetts | 6,540,802 | 10 | 9 | -1 | 219,676 | 499,030 | 402 | 449 | 726,756 | 38 |
| Michigan | 9,944,059 | 15 | 14 | -1 | 382,743 | 338,253 | 422 | 451 | 710,290 | 25 |
| Minnesota | 5,299,137 | 8 | 7 | -1 | 33,601 | 684,657 | 382 | 438 | 757,020 | 41 |
| Mississippi | 2,965,933 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 220,988 | 499,390 | 364 | 469 | 741,483 | 6 |
| Missouri | 5,997,765 | 9 | 8 | -1 | 48,991 | 669,423 | 388 | 440 | 749,721 | 39 |
| Montana | 989,897 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 442 | 989,897 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 1,805,406 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 663,172 | 61,297 | 423 | 597 | 601,802 | 48 |
| Nevada | 2,743,435 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 443,486 | 276,892 | 397 | 506 | 685,859 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 1,326,115 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 419,434 | 319,153 | 330 | 572 | 663,057 | 35 |
| New Jersey | 8,714,842 | 13 | 12 | -1 | 185,742 | 534,243 | 410 | 445 | 726,237 | 27 |
| New Mexico | 2,033,784 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 434,794 | 289,675 | 379 | 528 | 677,928 | 42 |
| New York | 19,593,728 | 29 | 28 | -1 | 712,731 | 16,146 | 435 | 450 | 699,776 | 19 |
| North Carolina | 9,620,905 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 705,897 | 15,098 | 434 | 468 | 687,207 | 32 |
| North Dakota | 645,695 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 671 | 645,695 | 43 |
| Ohio | 11,509,285 | 18 | 16 | -2 | 243,496 | 478,568 | 419 | 444 | 719,330 | 26 |
| Oklahoma | 3,719,591 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 183,574 | 535,297 | 377 | 456 | 743,918 | 8 |
| Oregon | 3,907,343 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 710,943 | 7,396 | 433 | 516 | 651,224 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 12,513,046 | 19 | 18 | -1 | 665,553 | 57,612 | 432 | 459 | 695,169 | 16 |
| Rhode Island | 1,041,804 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 703,744 | 34,842 | 424 | 713 | 520,902 | 49 |
| South Carolina | 4,641,739 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 690,999 | 27,259 | 430 | 502 | 663,106 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 821,309 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 534 | 821,309 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 6,375,320 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 385,159 | 333,547 | 415 | 462 | 708,369 | 33 |
| Texas | 25,404,692 | 32 | 36 | 4 | 603,388 | 130,143 | 431 | 447 | 705,686 | 21 |
| Utah | 2,907,938 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 278,982 | 441,395 | 370 | 478 | 726,985 | 47 |
| Vermont | 622,600 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 698 | 622,600 | 45 |
| Virginia | 7,921,700 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 265,647 | 453,869 | 413 | 448 | 720,155 | 23 |
| Washington | 6,754,908 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 5,571 | 713,135 | 392 | 436 | 750,545 | 15 |
| West Virginia | 1,821,474 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 647,104 | 77,365 | 418 | 590 | 607,158 | 46 |
| Wisconsin | 5,688,365 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 358,392 | 360,022 | 408 | 463 | 711,046 | 17 |
| Wyoming | 551,378 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | at large | 774 | 551,378 | 50 |
| Washington DC | 598,465 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs: | Seats to Apportion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 435 | Max Seats to Calculate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Include Washinaton DC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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TABLE J
Last 5/Next 5 Analysis for 2010 Projections with Margin of Population Just Gained or Lost By apportionment1_5_July2008R.xIs

|  | "Long-Term" | "Mid-Term" |  |  | "Short-Term" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000-2008 | 2004-2008 | 2005-2008 | 2006-2008 | 2007-2008 |
| Seat \# | Trend | Trend | Trend | Trend | Trend |
| 430 | FL - 27th | PA - 18th | S.C. - 7th | S.C. - 7th | PA - 18th |
|  | 173,092 | 56,292 | 27,259 | 22,995 | 55,526 |
| 431 | CA - 53rd | S.C. - 7th | TX - 36th | PA - 18th | CA - 53rd |
|  | 234,276 | 18,815 | 130,143 | 45,584 | 160,531 |
| 432 | PA - 18th | TX - 36th | PA - 18th | TX - 36th | S.C. - 7th |
|  | 60,143 | 97,569 | 57,612 | 35,474 | 19,807 |
| 433 | TX - 36th | OR - 6th | OR - 6th | NY - 28th | NY - 28th |
|  | 116,578 | 5,475 | 7,396 | 24,543 | 44,260 |
| 434 | NY - 28th | NY - 28th | N.C. - 14th | N.C. - 14th | TX - 36th |
|  | 83,731 | 18,638 | 15,098 | 3,754 | 50,998 |
| 435 | S.C. - 7th | FL-27th | NY - 28th | OR - 6th | OR - 6th |
|  | 5,199 | 9,924 | 16,146 | 2 | 2,558 |
| 436 | OR - 6th | N.C. - 14th | WA - 10th | CA - 53rd | WA - 10th |
|  | 4,394 | 5,053 | 5,571 | 18 | 4,431 |
| 437 | WA - 10th | CA - 53rd | CA - 53rd | WA - 10th | N.C. - 14th |
|  | 17,806 | 41,677 | 59,094 | 15,613 | 16,307 |
| 438 | N.C. - 14th | WA - 10th | MN - 8th | MN - 8th | MN - 8th |
|  | 38,055 | 9,807 | 33,601 | 32,861 | 32,317 |
| 439 | MN - 8th | MN - 8th | FL - 27th | LA - 7th | IL - 19th |
|  | 23,465 | 34,736 | 120,655 | 32,822 | 116,222 |
| 440 | MO-9th | MO-9th | MO - 9th | MO-9th | MO-9th |
|  | 41,724 | 43,173 | 48,991 | 54,257 | 64,505 |
| 441 | IL - 19th | IL - 19th | IL - 19th | IL - 19th | FL-27th |
|  | 129,514 | 145,987 | 143,322 | 130,938 | 281,147 |

Change in Congressional Delegation Based on Projected 2010 Apportionment Using 2008 Population Estimates


