

6171 Emerywood Court Manassas, Virginia 20112

202 789.2004 tel. or 703 580.7267 703 580.6258 fax Info@electiondataservices.com

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Date: December 30, 2019 Contact: Kimball W. Brace

Tel.: (202) 789–2004 or (703) 580-7267 Email: kbrace@electiondataservices.com Website: www.electiondataservices.com

Montana Gains California's Seat With New 2019 Census Estimates; But Alabama & Ohio to also lose by 2020

New Census Bureau population estimates for 2019 released today shows a change of one more seat between two states from last year's study generated by Election Data Services, Inc. on which states would gain or lose congressional seats if the current estimate numbers were used for apportionment in 2019. But projecting these numbers to 2020, using several different methods, leads to more states being impacted by the decennial census scheduled to take place in just four months. These numbers could also be majorly impacted by how well the Commerce Department and Census Bureau conducts the census, how well the American public responds to this decennial exercise, the first where major components will be conducted via the internet, and whether the discussion on citizenship over the past year will cause some groups to avoid participating. A changed practice on how to count the military overseas could also change the final apportionment when it is announced December 31, 2020.

The Bureau's 2019 total population estimates show that now 15 states will be impacted by changes in their congressional delegation if these new numbers were used for apportionment today. The state of **Montana** joins the previously indicated states of **Arizona**, **Colorado**, **Florida**, **North Carolina**, and **Oregon** to each gain a single seat while the state of **Texas** is now shown to gain a second seat with the new data. The state of **California** joins the states of **Illinois**, **Michigan**, **Minnesota**, **New York**, **Pennsylvania**, **Rhode Island**, and **West Virginia** to lose a seat in Congress using the new data. A map of the 2019 numbers is attached.

The new numbers, however, reflect subtle changes taking place across the nation in birth and death rates and resulting total population numbers that become magnified when the information is projected forward to coincide with the taking of the Census on April 1, 2020. Election Data Services created a variety of different methodologies to project the 2019 data forward nine months (from the July1, 2019 date of the Census estimates) to April 1, 2020 (several short-term projection methods for the trend occurring in 2018-2019, and 2017-2019, a middle term



methodology using the 2015-2019 trend, and a long-term projection for 2011-2019). The different methodologies benefit some states and disadvantage others in the "musical-chairs" effort of allocating 435 seats to the 50 states. All the methods would add a second seat for **Florida** and a third seat for **Texas**, to the list of states noted above that will gain one or more seats by 2020. The list of losing states will expand to also include **Alabama** and **Ohio**, by the time the Census is taken in 2020. A map showing the 2020 projected apportionment using the 2018-2019 trend is attached. Because all the projection models produce the same state overall results in seats as the 2018-2019 trend map, only the tables of the calculations for the different projection models are attached so that how close states are to changes can be observed.

The new 2019 data and all projections forward to 2020 now confirms that **California** will lose a congressional district in 2020. Our 2018 study first picked up the possibility that **California** could lose a congressional district for the first time in their nearly 160-year history. The new 2019 numbers from the Bureau indicate the state would lose that seat by 98,709 people but projecting the data forward to 2020 shows the state further away from potentially keeping that seat, losing it by over 300,000 people in 2020.

While the 2019 Census estimate numbers show **Alabama** keeping their seventh seat by a slim margin of just 18,516 people, projecting the data forward to 2020 would find the state losing the seventh seat by only 10,072 to 19,074 people, depending on the projection model utilized. All of the projection models find **Alabama** just missing the last seat to be apportioned, coming in at seat #436 when there are only 435 seats to hand out (a cut-off mark established in 1910).

The state of **Montana** is just barely able to reverse previous decades of population shifts when it went from two seats down to one in 1990. For 2020 the state is projected to go back to having two seats, but that gain of a second seat is because the state occupies the dubious distinction of obtaining seat #435, the last one to be apportioned. Election Data Services calculations show **Montana** getting that additional seat by only between 2,402 and 4,163 people to spare; a very close margin.

Rhode Island is also a state with an extremely close margin. For most of the decade our studies have projected that **Rhode Island** would lose their second seat by the end of the decade and the new numbers confirm that projection. But their margin has gotten tighter with the new data. For the past several years we saw that **Rhode Island** would lose that second seat by more than 25,000 people. But this new data shows the state missing the seat by only 14,539 residents.

Previous Election Data Services studies have hinted that the states of **Illinois** and **New York** might be in a position to each lose a second seat by 2020. However, these new Census numbers seem to indicate this will not be the case, with both states just losing a single seat each.

The state of **Minnesota** is also close to the margin of likely losing a seat in Congress. All of the projections place the state at position #437, having lost their last seat (their 8th) by between 6,740 people (the 2019 estimate) to around 21,000 people. **Minnesota**'s state demographer has indicated that recent influx of people to the state has boosted their numbers and it is likely to have had an impact on reapportionment.

Using any methodology, the population projections points toward a ten (10) seat change over 17 states across the nation by year 2020. States that will gain single seats include **Arizona**, **Colorado**, **Montana**, **North Carolina**, and **Oregon**, while **Florida** is set to gain two congressional districts and **Texas** would gain three seats. Single seat losses will again occur in the Midwest and Northeast sections of the nation, where **Alabama**, **California**, **Illinois**, **Michigan**, **Minnesota**, **New York**, **Ohio**, **Pennsylvania**, **Rhode Island** and **West Virginia** would each lose a seat. All other states would keep the same number of representatives they were awarded in December 2010 when the official 2010 Census numbers were released.

In table form, the gainers and losers are:

States Gaining Districts (7)

Arizona +1 (from 9 to 10) Colorado +1 (from 7 to 8) Florida +2 (from 27 to 29) Montana +1 (from At-large to 2) North Carolina +1 (from 13 to 14) Oregon +1 (from 5 to 6) Texas +3 (from 36 to 39)

States Losing Districts (10)

Alabama -1 (from 7 to 6)
California -1 (from 53 to 52)
Illinois -1 (from 18 to 17)
Michigan -1 (from 14 to 13)
Minnesota -1 (from 8 to 7)
New York -1 (from 27 to 26)
Ohio -1 (from 16 to 15)
Pennsylvania -1 (from 18 to 17)
Rhode Island -1 (from 2 to 1)
West Virginia -1 (from 3 to 2)

With only four months until Census Day, many states have appropriated funds to help send a message to their constituents about the importance of participating in the Censusⁱ. Many of these states are on the edge of gaining or losing a seat in the apportionment process, but there are some notable exceptions. For example, Texas has not appropriated any funds for Complete Count efforts, and yet whether they stand to gain only two or maybe three additional seats may depend on how good the counting is conducted in the state. This could also be impacted by the reaction to the citizenship issue that has become more of a focus in the past year. Florida has also failed to appropriate any funds for Complete Count efforts in 2020, but they are more firm in the projection of receiving two additional seats in 2020. Thus, the two largest gaining states in number of seats are those that didn't spend their own money to help the counting process.

Since 1941, by law the number of seats in the U.S. House of Representatives has been capped at 435. As a result, there has always been interest in finding which states are close to that magic bubble, either just gaining their last seat, or just missing their next seat. The following table shows the results of the 2019 population estimates, as well as one of the short-term trend methodology calculations (2018-2019) for the seats within five positions of the 435 cut off.

2019 Reapportionment Analysis 2019 Population Estimates 2020

	2019 Populatio	n Estimates	(usi	2020 Proje ng 2018-2019 s	ections hort-term trend)
Last	Five Seats	Margin of Gain	Last	Five Seats	Margin of Gain
431	Arizona (10th)	128,294	431	Illinois (17 th))	126,052
432	New York (26th)	237,376	432	New York (26th)	61,279
433	Alabama(7th)	18,516	433	Texas (39th)	79,742
434	Montana (2 nd)	2,856	434	Montana (2 nd)	2,402
435	Ohio (16 th)	12,508	435	Florida (29th)	44,285

2019 Reapportionment Analysis 2019 Population Estimates 2020 Projections (using 2018-2019 short-term trend)

Next	Seats	Margin of Loss	Next	Seats	Margin of Loss
436	Florida (29th)	23,006	436	Alabama (7th)	10,072
437	Minnesota (8th)	6,740	437	Minnesota (8th))	21,992
438	Texas (39th)	51,004	438	Ohio (16th)	74,135
439	California (53 rd)	98,709	439	California (53rd)	344,367
440	Rhode Island (2 nd	7,703	440	Rhode Island (2 ⁿ	d) 14,539

The Census Bureau's yearly release of population estimates also results in a revision of previous year estimates. While Election Data Services has traditionally reflected those revisions in our projection methodology, we have created a new apportionment table that shows the apportionment results for each year in the decade based upon those revised numbers. The table, entitled "2020 Apportionment Calculations based on different trend lines coming from the 2019 Census Bureau Estimates" is attached to this press release. The table shows consistent gains and losses of seats over the entire decade with the new data. The table also includes a chart of where seats # 430 through # 440 would be assigned to states in each projection.

Kimball Brace, President of Election Data Services, Inc. cautioned users that even though there is a very short time before the Census, the population projections are still subject to change. "We are now at a place where the rubber meets the road. How well does the Census Bureau and the Trump administration put on the greatest mobilization of government resources outside of war time? How well will the public respond and answer the Census, given the competing focuses of everyday life and the need to utilize the internet? Will the fear of foreign intervention also impact the census?" Brace noted. "Having worked with Census data and estimates since the 1970s, it is important to remember that major events like Katrina and the 2008 recession each changed population growth patterns and that impacted and changed the next apportionment," he said.

Election Data Services, Inc. "2019 Reapportionment Analysis" December 30, 2019 Page 5 of 6

Brace also noted that major changes in the counting process are in the works for 2020 and that reduced budget funding could impact those plans. "History can also be a guide, recalling that the 1920 apportionment was cancelled because the numbers showed for the first time that more people resided in urban areas than rural areas" said Brace. "I have had my share of nightmares that a failed Census process could lead to unreliable numbers and a repeat of 1920."

Because congressional apportionment also impacts the Electoral College and the vote for President, Election Data Services took the 2020 projections for each state and applied the Presidential election results from the past five Presidential contests to determine the Electoral College outcomes in the past 16 years. The study shows that none of the presidential contests would have elected a different presidential candidate using the new apportionment counts but they would have been more Republican in nature. For example, in 2016 President Trump would have gained two additional electoral college votes under the new apportionment projections. In 2012 President Obama would still have won the Electoral College, but with four less votes (328 vs 332) than he won at the time of the voting. The biggest change would have occurred in the 2000 presidential election where George Bush would have gained an additional 20 electoral votes had the new 2020 apportionment projections determined the number of congressional seats in each state.

The 2016 Electoral College was muddled because 7 electors voted for a different candidate than what they had pledged based on the vote totals. As a result, the overall change in candidate votes based on the new apportionment numbers shows just two vote difference in the bottom line results. President elect Trump's ability to carry states that will be losing congressional seats in 2020 also contributed to a reversal of the pattern depicted in previous elections.

It should be noted that the 2020 Presidential election and resulting Electoral College will occur before the results of the 2020 Census are released by December 31, 2020. Therefore, the Electoral College results in 2020 will be governed by the state's apportionment allocation as they exist today, having been first determined in 2011. The first time the new 2020 apportionment results will be utilized will be the 2024 Presidential election. Election Data Services, Inc. has also worked with the website 270ToWin, who has built an interactive map of the these new apportionment results where users can adjust state outcomes to discover Electoral College outcomes for the presidential elections back to 2000.

Major weather events have also affected apportionment. The Census Bureau's estimated populations released for 2005 showed **Louisiana** would keep all their congressional districts that decade. Even the Bureau's own projections for 2010 released that same year showed **Louisiana** staying the same. Then hurricane Katrina hit **Louisiana** at the end of August 2005 (after the date of the population estimates). Devastation and population loss impacted New Orleans in a major way, and when the Bureau's 2006 population estimates were released **Louisiana** was looking at losing a congressional seat. That was ultimately confirmed when the 2010 Census was taken, and state data was released at the end of that year.

As Election Data Services, Inc. noted last year in the 2017 study, the year of 2017 saw 18 hurricanes and tropical storms, three of which had a potential of impact on population movements in the United States. Two of these storms: Irma (impacting Miami and the Florida Gulf Coast), and Maria (which devastated Puerto Rico)) affected **Florida** and the new population estimates reflect that fact. Last years study showed **Florida** was 366,735 people away from gaining a third seat.

Election Data Services, Inc. "2019 Reapportionment Analysis" December 30, 2019 Page 6 of 6

The 2019 data shows the state is only 172,169 people away from a third additional seat, an improvement of nearly 200,000 people.

The 2019 population estimates have not been statistically adjusted for any known undercount that may take place when the Census is conducted. In addition, no estimates were provided for U.S. military personnel overseas. This component has in the past been counted by the Census Bureau and allocated to the states based on administrative records retained by the military. Overseas military personnel have been a factor in the apportionment formula for the past several decades, including the switching of the final district in 2000 that went from **Utah** to **North Carolina**.

For 2020 the Census Bureau has changed the "residency rules" for counting the military by creating a distinction between personnel who are *deployed* overseas (usually for short periods of time) compared to those who are *stationed* or *assigned* overseas (frequently for longer periods of time). The Bureau will use DOD's administrative records to count *deployed* personnel at their usual residence in the US for both apportionment and redistricting purposes (they will be embedded within the state's resident population counts). On the other hand, personnel who are *stationed* or *assigned* overseas will be counted to their "home state of record" for apportionment purposes only and will show up as part of a state's total "overseas count" when the Bureau releases the final and official apportionment data by December, 31, 2020. Military sources have told the Census Bureau that of all overseas military, approximately 15% are *deployed* personnel and 85% are *stationed* or *assigned* overseas.


Past apportionment studies by Election Data Services, Inc. can be found at https://www.electiondataservices.com/reapportionment-studies/. A historical chart on the number of districts each state received each decade from 1789 to current is also available at this web address and linkable at https://www.electiondataservices.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/CD-apportionment-1789-2010.pdf.

Election Data Services Inc. is a political consulting firm that specializes in redistricting, election administration, and the analysis of census and political data. Election Data Services, Inc. conducts the congressional apportionment analyses with each annual release of the census population estimates. For more information about the reapportionment analysis, contact Kimball Brace (703-580-7267 or 202-789-2004 or kbrace@electiondataservices.com).

ⁱ National Conference of State Legislatures reports 26 states have appropriated funds for Census counting. http://www.ncsl.org/research/redistricting/2020-census-resources-and-legislation.aspx


APPENDIX Main 2019 Population Estimates 2019 Apportionment

2019 Population E	stimates, Generated	by Census	Burea	u 12/30/20)19					
State	Population	Compare To	Seats	Change		Lose a Seat	Given	Next Seat At	Average Size	
Alabama	4,903,185		7	0	743,187	18,516		502	700,455	43
Alaska	731,545		1	0			at large	637	731,545	34
Arizona	7,278,717	9	10	1	634,842	128,294	431	472	727,872	37
Arkansas	3,017,804	4	4	0	356,549	406,839	378	490	754,451	24
California	39,512,223	53	52	-1	98,709	697,431	430	439	759,850	20
Colorado	5,758,736	7	8	1	643,648	118,406	428	487	719,842	39
Connecticut	3,565,287	5	5	0	567,434	194,546	413	507	713,057	40
Delaware	973,764	1	1	0	00.000	750.040	at large	477	973,764	2
Florida	21,477,737	27	28	1	23,006	753,842	421	436	767,062	15
Georgia	10,617,423	14	14	0	316,729	449,174	419	446	758,387	22
Hawaii	1,415,872	2	2	0	432,337	349,950	330	565	707,936	41
Idaho	1,787,065		2	0	61,144	721,143	262	449	893,533	4
Illinois	12,671,821	18	17	-1	527,032	241,139	429	454	745,401	29
Indiana	6,732,219	9	9	0	425,864	336,686	417	465	748,024	28
lowa	3,155,070	4	4	0	219,283	544,105	362 393	468	788,768	9 36
Kansas	2,913,314	4	4	0	461,039	302,349		505	728,329	
Kentucky	4,467,673	6	6 6	0	422,229	339,375	402	474	744,612	30 11
Louisiana	4,648,794	6			241,108	520,496	390	459	774,799	
Maine	1,344,212	2 8	2 8	0	503,997 356,704	278,290	344 407	597 462	672,106 755,710	46 23
Maryland	6,045,680	9	9	_		405,350			765,834	16
Massachusetts	6,892,503	14	13	-1	265,580	496,970	405	451 442		13
Michigan	9,986,857		7		192,285	572,888	411 379	442	768,220	6
Minnesota	5,639,632	8	4	-1	6,740	754,963	385	437	805,662 744,037	31
Mississippi Missouri	2,976,149	8	8	0	398,204 264,956	365,184 497,098	399	493	744,037	14
Missouri Montana	6,137,428		2	1	779,431	2,856	434	734	534,389	50
Nebraska	1,068,778	3	3	0	679,355	88,177	418	586	644,803	47
Nevada	1,934,408	4	4	0	294,197	469,191	371	476	770,039	12
New Hampshire	3,080,156 1,359,711	2	2	0	488,498	293,789	339	589	679,856	45
New Jersey	8,882,190	12	12	0	541,864	222,598	426	464	740,183	32
New Mexico	2,096,829	3	3	0	516,934	250,598	387	542	698,943	44
New York	19,453,561	27	26	-1	537,876	237,376	432	444	748,214	27
North Carolina	10,488,084	13	14	1	446,068	319,835	423	455	749,149	26
North Dakota	762,062	1	1	0	440,000	319,000	at large	613	762,062	18
Ohio	11,689,100	16	16	0	754,898	12,508	435	466	730,569	35
Oklahoma	3,956,971		5	0	175,750			457	791,394	8
Oregon	4,217,737	5	6	1	672,165	89,439		508	702,956	42
Pennsylvania	12,801,989		17	-1	396,864	371,307	424	447	753,058	25
Rhode Island	1,059,361	2	1	-1	000,001	071,007	at large	440	1,059,361	1
South Carolina	5,148,714		7	0	497,658	264,045	415	478	735,531	33
South Dakota	884,659		1	0	101,000		at large	524	884,659	5
Tennessee	6,829,174		9	0	328,909	433,641	409	458	758,797	21
Texas	28,995,881	36	38	2	51,004			438	763,050	17
Utah	3,205,958		4	0	168,395	594,993	355	460	801,490	7
Vermont	623,989		1	0	2 2,2 3 0		at large	729	623,989	48
Virginia	8,535,519		11	0	133,350	630,429	404	441	775,956	10
Washington	7,614,893		10	0	298,666	464,470		452	761,489	19
West Virginia	1,792,147		2	-1	56,062	726,225		448	896,074	3
Wisconsin	5,822,434		8	0	579,950	182,104	422	480	727,804	38
Wyoming	578,759		1	0	,	,	at large	781	578,759	49
Washington DC	705,749						J		ĺ	
	000 000 500		105						751 101	
Other Inpute:	328,239,523 Seats to Apportion		435					Median = Min =	751,104 534,389	
Other Inputs:	Max Seats to Calcula	ıto.						Max =	1,059,361	
	States	เษ						ividx =	1,059,361	
50										
_	<u> </u>									
Include		<u> </u>						I		





		Compare					Last Soot	Next Seat		
State	Population	To	Seats	Change	Gain a Seat	Lose a Seat	Given	At	Average Size	Size Rank
Alabama	4,914,850	7	6	-1	10,072	761,044	371	436	819,142	6
Alaska	728,863	1	1	0	. 5,5,2	, , , , , , ,	at large	640	728,863	38
Arizona	7,370,763	9	10	1	599,470	176,160	425	471	737,076	36
Arkansas	3,023,873	4	4	0	374,646	396,776	379	489	755,968	24
California	39,550,248	53	52	-1	344,367	495,632	430	439	760,582	22
Colorado	5,809,922	7	8	1	638,314	134,743	426	485	726,240	40
Connecticut	3,560,620	5	5	0	601,698	169,052	416	508	712,124	41
Delaware	980,031	1	1	0	,	,	at large	475	980,031	2
Florida	21,654,726	27	29	2	760,045	44,285	435	447	746,715	29
Georgia	10,697,948	14	14	0	314,512	466,873	417	446	764,139	20
Hawaii	1,412,343	2	2	0	449,103	339,835	334	572	706,172	43
Idaho	1,815,033	2	2	0	46,412	742,526	261	443	907,517	3
Illinois	12,633,538	18	17	-1	659,841	126,052	431	460	743,149	32
Indiana	6,759,912	9	9	0	449,436	324,863	415	464	751,101	27
lowa	3,159,919	4	4	0	238,600	532,822	362	468	789,980	10
Kansas	2,914,781	4	4	0	483,738	287,684	395	507	728,695	39
Kentucky	4,472,570	6	6	0	452,352	318,764	402	478	745,428	31
Louisiana	4,640,641	6	6	0	284,281	486,835	392	461	773,440	14
Maine	1,348,093	2	2	0	513,353	275,585	342	596	674,047	46
Maryland	6,053,101	8	8	0	395,136	377,921	409	462	756,638	23
Massachusetts	6,899,915	9	9	0	309,433	464,866	406	453	766,657	18
Michigan	9,988,946	14	13	-1	263,096	516,811	413	444	768,380	17
Minnesota	5,664,818	8	7	-1	21,992	749,969	377	437	809,260	8
Mississippi	2,972,502	4	4	0	426,017	345,404	386	497	743,125	33
Missouri	6,149,312	8	8	0	298,924	474,133	400	457	768,664	15
Montana	1,074,909	1	2	1	786,537	2,402	434	735	537,455	50
Nebraska	1,941,034	3	3	0	691,448	83,395	418	587	647,011	47
Nevada	3,120,458	4	4	0	278,061	493,361	369	473	780,115	11
New Hampshire	1,364,417	2	2	0	497,029	291,909	339	589	682,209	45
New Jersey	8,879,315	12	12	0	612,232	166,218	429	465	739,943	35
New Mexico	2,099,901	3	3	0	532,581	242,263	387	542	699,967	44
New York	19,396,195	27	26	-1	738,416	61,279	432	449	746,007	30
North Carolina	10,568,755	13	14	1	443,705	337,679	421	451	754,911	25
North Dakota	765,064		1	0	,	,	at large	612	765,064	19
Ohio	11,698,680	16	15	-1	74,135	708,742	410	438	779,912	12
Oklahoma	3,969,576		5	0	192,743	578,008	374	456	793,915	9
Oregon	4,244,856	5	6	1	680,066	91,050	428	505	707,476	42
Pennsylvania	12,802,789	18	17	-1	490,590	295,303	427	450	753,105	26
Rhode Island	1,060,167	2	1	-1	,	,	at large	440	1,060,167	1
South Carolina	5,197,747	7	7	0	489,063	282,898	412	474	742,535	34
South Dakota	889,160	1	1	0	, -	, -	at large	525	889,160	5
Tennessee	6,872,698	9	9	0	336,649	437,649	408	458	763,633	21
Texas	29,274,825	36	39	3	740,080	79,742	433	442	750,637	28
Utah	3,245,917	4	4	0	152,602	618,820	350	454	811,479	7
Vermont	623,712	1	1	0	,	,	at large	731	623,712	48
Virginia	8,561,297	11	11	0	169,656	607,364	403	441	778,300	13
Washington	7,683,987	10	10	0	286,246	489,384	407	448	768,399	16
West Virginia	1,783,100	3	2	-1	78,346	710,593	264	452	891,550	4
Wisconsin	5,833,734	8	8	0	614,502	158,555	424	483	729,217	37
Wyoming	579,629	1	1	0	,	, -	at large	782	579,629	49
Washington DC	708,919	0							, -	
	·									
	329,418,113		435					Median =	754,008	
Other Inputs:	Seats to Apportion							Min =	537,455	
•	Max Seats to Calculate							Max =	1,060,167	
	States								, ,	
50										
Include	+									



2020 Projections (020 Projections (short term 2017-2019 change) based on 2019 Population Estimates, Generated by Census Bureau 12/30/2019									
Ctata	Domilation	Compare	Casta	Oh am ma	Coin a Coot	l Ct		Next Seat		Cina Danis
State	Population	To	Seats	3		Lose a Seat		At	Average Size	
Alabama	4,914,010	7	6	-1	12,184	760,914	372	436	819,002	6
Alaska	728,521	1	1	0	600 607	170 001	at large	640	728,521	39
Arizona	7,369,666		10	1	602,627	176,291	426	471	736,967	36
Arkansas	3,024,010		4	0	375,387	397,361	379	490	756,002	24
California	39,570,095	53 7	52	-1	334,826	522,150	430 425	439 485	760,963	22 40
Colorado Connecticut	5,815,246 3,562,290		8 5	0	634,656 601,104	141,036 171,301	425 416	508	726,906 712,458	40
Delaware	980,229	1	1	0	001,104	171,501		475	980,229	2
Florida	21,675,262	27	29	2	745,300	68,512	at large 433	447	747,423	29
Georgia	10,696,628	14	14	0	318,677	467,300	417	446	764,045	20
Hawaii	1,412,696	2	2	0	449,231	340,371	334	572	706,348	43
Idaho	1,814,121	2	2	0	47,806	741,797	261	444	907,061	3
Illinois	12,632,029	18	17	-1	664,784	126,679	431	460	743,061	32
Indiana	6,760,331	9	9	0	450,878	326,382	415	464	751,148	27
lowa	3,160,162	4	4	0	239,235	533,513	362	468	790,040	10
Kansas	2,915,040		4	0	484,357	288,392	395	507	728,760	38
Kentucky	4,473,470		6	0	452,724	320,373	402	478	745,578	31
Louisiana	4,640,670		6	0	285,525	487,573	392	461	773,445	14
Maine	1,347,838		2	0	514,089	275,513	343	597	673,919	46
Maryland	6,053,889		8	0	396,013	379,679	409	462	756,736	23
Massachusetts	6,904,829	9	9	0	306,381	470,880	406	453	767,203	18
Michigan	9,992,018	14	13	-1	262,673	521,500	413	443	768,617	17
Minnesota	5,667,521	8	7	-1	20,758	753,511	377	437	809,646	8
Mississippi	2,971,533	4	4	0	427,864	344,884	386	497	742,883	33
Missouri	6,149,020	8	8	0	300,882	474,810	400	457	768,628	16
Montana	1,074,984		2	1	786,943	2,659	435	735	537,492	50
Nebraska	1,941,398	3	3	0	691,764	84,077	418	587	647,133	47
Nevada	3,123,035	4	4	0	276,362	496,386	368	473	780,759	11
New Hampshire	1,363,841	2	2	0	498,086	291,516	339	589	681,920	45
New Jersey	8,880,940		12	0	613,059	169,331	429	465	740,078	35
New Mexico	2,098,725		3	0	534,436	241,405	387	543	699,575	44
New York	19,402,911	27	26	-1	736,901	71,298		449	746,266	30
North Carolina	10,572,293	13	14	1	443,011	342,965	421	451	755,164	25
North Dakota	764,757	1	1	0			at large	612	764,757	19
Ohio	11,700,172		15	-1	75,684	712,111	410	438	780,011	12
Oklahoma	3,966,654		5	0	196,740	575,666	374	458	793,331	9
Oregon	4,246,026		6	1	680,168	92,930		505	707,671	42
Pennsylvania	12,807,376		17	-1	489,438	302,025	427	450	753,375	26
Rhode Island	1,060,749		1 7	-1	400 500	000 700	at large	440	1,060,749	1
South Carolina	5,197,719		7	0	490,560	283,709		474	742,531	34
South Dakota	889,140		1	0	000 005	444 475	at large	525	889,140	5
Tennessee	6,875,125		9	0	336,085	441,175	408	456	763,903	21
Texas	29,265,114		39	3	757,545	75,018	434 350	442	750,388	28 7
Utah Vormont	3,246,633 623,856		4	0	152,765	619,984		455 731	811,658 623,856	48
Vermont Virginia	8,562,723		11	0	170,486	610,148	at large 403	441	778,429	13
Washington	7,688,570		10	0	283,722	495,196		441	768,857	15
West Virginia	1,782,953		2	-1	78,974	710,629		452	891,477	4
Wisconsin	5,834,594		8	0	615,308	160,384	424	483	729,324	37
Wyoming	578,695		1	0	010,000	100,004	at large	783	578,695	49
Washington DC	709,879		- '	U			at larye	700	370,033	+3
Trasimigion DO	703,079	U								
	329,489,985		435					Median =	754,269	
Other Inputs:	Seats to Apportion		.50					Min =	537,492	
•	Max Seats to Calculate							Max =	1,060,749	
	States								.,555,7 10	
50										
	+									
			i						•	

2020 Projections (mid- term 2015-2019 change) based on 2019 Population Estimates, Generated by Census Bureau 12/30/2019										
Ctata	Danulation	Compare	Casta	Oh am ma	Coin a Coot	Lassa Cast		Next Seat		Cina Dank
State	Population	To	Seats			Lose a Seat	Given	At	Average Size	
Alabama	4,912,817	7	6	-1	19,074	760,729	372	436	818,803	6
Alaska	730,438	1	1	0	010.004	170 001	at large	638	730,438	37
Arizona	7,368,448	9	10	1	613,064	176,821	427	471	736,845	36
Arkansas	3,025,358	4	4	0	377,971	399,347	379	490	756,339	24
California	39,625,332	53 7	52 8	-1 1	325,736	586,871	430 425	439 485	762,026 727,472	22 40
Colorado Connecticut	5,819,773 3,561,218	5	5	0	637,588 606,991	146,941 171,053	415	508	712,244	41
Delaware	980,071	1	1	0	000,991	171,000	at large	475	980,071	2
Florida	21,730,551	27	29	2	715,938	129,049	432	447	749,329	29
Georgia	10,703,281	14	14	0	324,762	476,437	417	446	764,520	19
Hawaii	1,414,718	2	2	0	449,362	342,654	334	570	707,359	43
Idaho	1,814,667	2	2	0	49,413	742,603	261	444	907,333	3
Illinois	12,637,252	18	17	-1	674,939	134,938	431	460	743,368	33
Indiana	6,755,866	9	9	0	463,683	323,479	416	465	750,652	28
lowa	3,161,536	4	4	0	241,793	535,525	362	468	790,384	10
Kansas	2,914,122	4	4	0	489,206	288,111	395	507	728,531	39
Kentucky	4,475,565	6	6	0	456,326	323,477	402	478	745,927	31
Louisiana	4,645,835	6	6	0	286,056	493,748	392	461	774,306	14
Maine	1,347,239	2	2	0	516,841	275,174	344	598	673,619	46
Maryland	6,057,065	8	8	0	400,296	384,233	409	463	757,133	23
Massachusetts	6,911,196	9	9	0	308,353	478,809	406	452	767,911	18
Michigan	9,997,254	14	13	-1	269,296	529,036	414	443	769,020	16
Minnesota	5,670,032	8	7	-1	24,826	757,215	377	437	810,005	8
Mississippi	2,973,848	4	4	0	429,480	347,838	386	497	743,462	32
Missouri	6,149,879	8	8	0	307,482	477,047	400	456	768,735	17
Montana	1,076,227	1	2	1	787,853	4,163	435	735	538,113	50
Nebraska	1,942,679	3	3	0	693,527	85,810	418	587	647,560	47
Nevada	3,123,107	4	4	0	280,221	497,097	369	473	780,777	11
New Hampshire	1,364,168	2	2	0	499,912	292,104	340	589	682,084	45
New Jersey	8,884,864	12	12	0	620,113	175,371	429	466	740,405	35
New Mexico	2,098,247	3	3	0	537,959	241,378	388	543	699,416	44
New York	19,416,240	27	26	-1	746,863	89,321	433	449	746,778	30
North Carolina	10,577,560	13	14	1	450,483	350,716	422	451	755,540	25
North Dakota	763,577	1	1	0	00.074	7.7.010	at large	614	763,577	21
Ohio	11,702,603		15	-1	86,871	717,210	410	438	780,174	12
Oklahoma	3,965,980	5	5	0	202,229	575,815	375	458	793,196	9
Oregon	4,257,506	5	6	1	674,385	105,418	426	502	709,584	42
Pennsylvania	12,805,211	18	17	-1	506,979	302,898	428	450	753,248	26
Rhode Island	1,059,981	2	1	-1	40E 474	000 570	at large	440	1,059,981	1
South Carolina	5,199,387 890,616	7	7	0	495,471	286,570	412	474 526	742,770 890,616	34 5
South Dakota	6,875,411	1 9	9	0	2// 120	443,024	at large 408	457	763,935	20
Tennessee Texas	29,297,864	36	39	3	344,138 759,514	443,024 114,857	408	457	763,935 751,227	27
Utah	3,251,140	4	4	0	152,189	625,129	350	442	812,785	7
Vermont	623,759	1	1	0	132,109	020,129	at large	731	623,759	48
Virginia	8,568,766	11	11	0	174,542	618,123	404	441	778,979	13
Washington	7,704,829	10	10	0	276,683	513,202	407	448	770,483	15
West Virginia	1,783,044	3	2	-1	81,036	710,980	266	453	891,522	4
Wisconsin	5,834,087	8	8	0	623,274	161,255	424	483	729,261	38
Wyoming	577,489		1	0	525,274	101,200	at large	785	577,489	49
Washington DC	711,695	0	<u> </u>				at large	, 55	<i>571</i> , 700	70
	7 11,500	·								
	329,739,397		435					Median =	754,394	
Other Inputs:	Seats to Apportion							Min =	538,113	
•	Max Seats to Calculate							Max =	1,059,981	
	States								,,,,,,,,,,	
50										
☐ Include	'									
									•	

Alabama Alaska Arizona	Population 4,913,158 732,439 7,363,698	Compare To	0				Last Seat	Novt Soat		
Arizona	4,913,158 732,439	То	04-				Lact Spat	Novt Coat		4
Alabama Alaska Arizona	4,913,158 732,439		0							
Alaska Arizona	732,439		Seats	Change	Gain a Seat	Lose a Seat	Given	At	Average Size	Size Rank
Arizona		7	6	-1	17,500	760,782	372	436	818,860	6
	7 262 600	1	1	0			at large	637	732,439	37
		9	10	1	615,818	171,572	428	472	736,370	36
Arkansas	3,025,225	4	4	0	377,252	399,033	379	490	756,306	24
California	39,696,643	53	52	-1	244,435	655,474	430	438	763,397	22
Colorado	5,825,957	7	8	1	629,790	152,731	425	485	728,245	40
Connecticut	3,563,145	5	5	0	604,021	172,745	415	508	712,629	41
Delaware	980,443	1	1	0			at large	475	980,443	2
Florida	21,733,957	27	29	2	706,919	130,957	433	447	749,447	29
Georgia	10,700,181	14	14	0	325,104	472,628	419	445	764,299	20
Hawaii	1,419,389	2	2	0	444,225	347,250	333	568	709,694	42
Idaho	1,808,554	2	2	0	55,060	736,415	261	446	904,277	3
Illinois	12,653,759	18	17	-1	655,102	150,579	431	460	744,339	32
Indiana	6,753,109	9	9	0	464,634	320,276	416	465	750,345	28
lowa	3,163,630	4	4	0	238,848	537,437	362	468	790,907	10
Kansas	2,917,511	4	4	0	484,966	291,318	395	507	729,378	38
Kentucky	4,477,052	6	6	0	453,606	324,676	404	477	746,175	31
Louisiana	4,655,763	6	6	0	274,894	503,388	392	461	775,961	14
Maine	1,345,723	2	2	0	517,891	273,585	344	599	672,862	46
Maryland	6,065,700	8	8	0	390,046	392,475	408	463	758,212	23
Massachusetts	6,919,755	9	9	0	297,989	486,922	406	452	768,862	18
Michigan	9,996,752	14	13	-1	267,230	527,878	414	444	768,981	17
Minnesota	5,668,657	8	7	-1	24,776	755,500	378	437	809,808	8
Mississippi	2,975,907	4	4	0	426,570	349,714	386	497	743,977	33
Missouri	6,149,601	8	8	0	306,145	476,376	400	458	768,700	19
Montana	1,075,958	1	2	1	787,656	3,819	435	735	537,979	50
Nebraska	1,943,643	3	3	0	691,904	86,645	418	587	647,881	47
Nevada	3,119,268	4	4	0	283,209	493,075	369	473	779,817	13
New Hampshire	1,363,526	2	2	0	500,088	291,387	340	589	681,763	45
New Jersey	8,887,290	12	12	0	615,310	177,193	429	466	740,608	35
New Mexico	2,098,377	3	3	0	537,171	241,378	388	544	699,459	44
New York	19,449,289	27	26	-1	708,771	121,030	432	448	748,050	30
North Carolina	10,572,638	13	14	1	452,647	345,085	422	451	755,188	25
North Dakota	770,073		1	0			at large	608	770,073	15
Ohio	11,702,810	16	15	-1	83,715	716,656	410	439	780,187	11
Oklahoma	3,973,480		5	0	193,687	583,080	374	456	794,696	9
Oregon	4,253,040		6	1	677,618	100,664		504	708,840	43
Pennsylvania	12,807,279	18	17	-1	501,583	304,098		450	753,369	26
Rhode Island	1,059,899	2	1	-1			at large	440	1,059,899	1
South Carolina	5,197,967	7	7	0	495,466	284,810	412	474	742,567	34
South Dakota	890,810	1	1	0		100 ===	at large	526	890,810	5
Tennessee	6,872,183	9	9	0	345,561	439,350	409	459	763,576	21
Texas	29,350,998	36	39	3	698,863	165,968	434	442	752,590	27
Utah	3,247,776	4	4	0	154,702	621,583	351	455	811,944	7
Vermont	623,704	1	1	0		00-00-	at large	732	623,704	48
Virginia	8,578,424	11	11	0	162,697	627,230	403	441	779,857	12
Washington	7,697,326	10	10	0	282,190	505,200	407	449	769,733	16
West Virginia	1,786,340	3	2	-1	77,273	714,202	265	453	893,170	4
Wisconsin	5,833,642	8	8	0	622,104	160,417	424	483	729,205	39
Wyoming	579,855	1	1	0			at large	782	579,855	49
Washington DC	714,924	0								
	329,956,225									
		435					Median =	754,279		
Other Inputs: Se							Min =	537,979		
435 M							Max =	1,059,899		
75 St	ates									
50										
☐ Include										

		2020 Apportionment Calculations based on different trend lines coming from the 2019 Census Bureau Estimates													ırean F	stimates			
		2010-2019	Trend	2011-2019		2012-2019		2013-2019		2014-2019		2015-2019		2016-2019		2017-2019	Trend	2018-2019	9 Trend
State	Compare To	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change	Seats	Change
Alabama	7	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1	6	-1
Alaska	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Arizona	9	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1
Arkansas	4	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0
California	53	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1	52	-1
Colorado	7	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1	8	1
Connecticut	5	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0
Delaware	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Florida	27	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2	29	2
Georgia	14	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	14	0
Hawaii	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
Idaho	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
Illinois	18 9	17 9	-1 0	17 9	-1	17	-1	17 9	-1 0	17 9	-1 0	17	-1 0	17 9	-1 0	17 9	-1 0	17 9	-1
Indiana	4	4	0	4	0	9	0	4	0	4	0	9	0	4	0	4	0	4	0
lowa Kansas	4	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0
Kentucky	6	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0
Louisiana	6	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0
Maine	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
Maryland	8	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0
Massachusetts	9	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0
Michigan	14	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1	13	-1
Minnesota	8	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1	7	-1
Mississippi	4	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0
Missouri	8	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0
Montana	3	2	1	3	0	2	1	3	1	2	1	2	0	3	0	2	1	3	1
Nebraska	4	3	0	4	0	3 4	0	4	0	3	0	3 4	0	4	0	3 4	0	4	0
Nevada New Hampshire	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
New Jersey	12	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0
New Mexico	3	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
New York	27	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1	26	-1
North Carolina	13	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1	14	1
North Dakota	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Ohio	16	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1	15	-1
Oklahoma	5	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0
Oregon	5	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1
Pennsylvania	18	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1	17	-1
Rhode Island	2	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1 7	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
South Carolina South Dakota	7	7	0	7	0	7	0	7 1	0	7	0	7	0	7	0	7	0	7	0
Tennessee	9	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	9	0
Texas	36	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3	39	3
Utah	4	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0
Vermont	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Virginia	11	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0
Washington	10	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0
West Virginia	3	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1
Wisconsin	8	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0
Wyoming	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Washington DC	0										ļ		ļ	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			
											 				1		1		+-
		435		435		435		435		435		435		435		435		435	+
		Ctoto	Cont	Ctoto	Coot	Ctata	Cont	Ctata	Coot	State	Cont	Ctoto	Cost	Ctata	Cost	Ctata	Cost	Ctoto	Co-t
		State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat	State	Seat
	430	California	52	California	52	California	52	California	52	California	52	California	52	California	50	California	52	California	5
	430	Illinois	17	Illinois	17	Illinois	17	Illinois	17	Illinois	17	Illinois	17		17	Illinois	17	Illinois	1
	431	New York	26	New York	26	Florida	29	Florida	29	Florida	29	Florida	29		29		26	New York	2
	433	Texas	39	Florida	29	New York	26	New York	26	New York	26	New York	26		26	Florida	29	Texas	3
	434	Florida	29	Texas	39		39	Texas	39	Texas	39		39		2	Texas	39	Montana	1
	435	Montana	2	Montana	2	Montana	2	Montana	2	Montana	2	Montana	2	Texas	39	Montana	2	Florida	2
	436	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	7	Alabama	+
	437	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	8	Minnesota	1
	438	California	53	California	53	California	53	California	53	Ohio	16		16	Ohio	16	Ohio	16	Ohio	1
	439	Ohio		Ohio	16		16	Ohio		California	53		53		53		53	California	5
		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island		Rhode Island	

					20	16 Pres	sidential	Election		
								Revised	Revised	
	New	New	2010s	2000s		Electoral	Electorial	Electoral	Electoria	
	Apportionment	Electoral	Electoral	Electoral	2016	Votes For	Votes For	Votes For	Votes Fo	
	Count (2018-	College	College	College	Presidentia	Clinton	Trump	Clinton	Trump	
State	2019 Trend)	Count	Count	Count	l Victor	(D)	(Rep)	(D)	(Rep)	
Alabama	6	8	9	9	Trump	0	9	0	8	
Alaska	1	3	3	3	Trump	0	3	0	3	
Arizona	10	12	11	10	Trump	0	11	0	12	
Arkansas	4	6	6	6	Trump	0	6	0	6	
California	52	54	55	55	Clinton	55	0	54	0	
Colorado	8	10	9	9	Clinton	9	0	10	0	
Connecticut	5	7	7	7	Clinton	7	0	7	0	
Delaware	1	3	3	3	Clinton	3	0	3	0	
Florida	29	31	29	27	Trump	0	29	0	31	
Georgia	14	16	16	15	Trump	0	16	0	16	
Hawaii	2	4	4	4	Clinton*	3	0	3	0	
Idaho	2	4	4	4	Trump	0	4	0	4	
Illinois	17	19	20	21	Clinton	20	0	19	0	
Indiana	9	11	11	11	Trump	0	11	0	11	
lowa	4	6	6	7	Trump	0	6	0	6	
Kansas	4	6	6	6	Trump	0	6	0	6	
Kentucky	6	8	8	8	Trump	0	8	0	8	
Louisiana	6	8	8	9	Trump	0	8	0	8	
Maine	2	4	4	4	Clinton	3	1	4	0	
Maryland	8	10	10	10	Clinton	10	0	10	0	
Massachusetts	9	11	11	12	Clinton	11	0	11	0	
Michigan	13	15	16	17	Trump	0	16	0	15	
Minnesota	7	9	10	10	Clinton	10	0	9	0	
Mississippi	4	6	6	6	Trump	0	6	0	6	
Missouri	8	10	10	11	Trump	0	10	0	10	
Montana	2	4	3	3	Trump	0	3	0	4	
Nebraska	3	5	5	5	Trump	0	5	0	5	
Nevada	4	6	6	5	Clinton	6	0	6	0	
New Hampshire	2	4	4	4	Clinton	4	0	4	0	
New Jersey	12	14	14	15	Clinton	14	0	14	0	
New Mexico	3	5	5	5	Clinton	5	0	5	0	
New York	26	28	29	31	Clinton	29	0	28	0	
North Carolina	14	16	15	15	Trump	0	15	0	16	
North Dakota	1	3	3	3	Trump	0	3	0	3	
Ohio	15	17	18	20	Trump	0	18	0	17	
Oklahoma	5	7	7	7	Trump	0	7	0	7	
Oregon	6	8	7	7	Clinton	7	0	8	0	
Pennsylvania	17	19	20	21	Trump	0	20	0	19	
Rhode Island	1	3	4	4	Clinton	4	0	3	0	
South Carolina	7	9	9	8	Trump	0	9	0	9	
South Dakota	1	3	3	3	Trump	0	3	0	3	
Tennessee	9	11	11	11	Trump	0	11	0	11	
Texas	39	41	38	34	Trump#	0	36	0	39	
Utah	4	6	6	5	Trump	0	6	0	6	
Vermont	1	3	3	3	Clinton	3	0	3	0	
Virginia	11	13	13	13	Clinton	13	0	13	0	
Washington	10	12	12	11	Clinton&	8	0	8	0	
West Virginia	2	4	5	5	Trump	0	5	0	4	
Wisconsin	8	10	10	10	Trump	0	10	0	10	
Wyoming	1	3	3	3	Trump	0	3	0	3	
Washington DC	1	3	3	3	Clinton	3	0	3	0	
						227	304	225	306	
								-2	2	
					#One electo	r voted for	John Kasich	for Preside	ent	
					#One electo					
					&Three elec					
					&One electo					
					*One electo					

20	012 Pres	sidential	Election	n	20	08 Pres	idential	Electio	n
			Revised	Revised				Revised	Revised
	Electoral	Electorial	Electoral	Electorial		Electoral	Electorial	Electoral	Electoria
2012	Votes For	Votes For	Votes For	Votes For	2008	Votes For	Votes For	Votes For	Votes Fo
Presidential	Obama	Romney	Obama	Romney	Presidential	Obama	McCain	Obama	McCain
Victor	(D)	(Rep)	(D)	(Rep)	Victor	(D)	(Rep)	(D)	(Rep)
Romney	0	9	0	8	McCain	0	9	0	8
Romney	0	3	0	3	McCain	0	3	0	3
Romney	0	11	0	12	McCain	0	10	0	12
Romney	0	6	0	6	McCain	0	6	0	6
Obama	55	0	54	0	Obama	55	0	54	0
Obama	9	0	10	0	Obama	9	0	10	0
Obama	7	0	7	0	Obama	7	0	7	0
Obama	3	0	3	0	Obama	3	0	3	0
Obama	29	0	31	0	Obama	27	0	31	0
Romney	0	16	0	16	McCain	0	15	0	16
Obama	4	0	4	0	Obama	4	0	4	0
Romney	0	4	0	4	McCain	0	4	0	4
Obama	20	0	19	0	Obama	21	0	19	0
Romney	0	11	0	11	Obama	11	0	11	0
Obama	6	0	6	0	Obama	7	0	6	0
Romney	0	6	0	6	McCain	0	6	0	6
Romney	0	8	0	8	McCain	0	8	0	8
Romney	0	8	0	8	McCain	0	9	0	8
Obama	4	0	4	0	Obama	4	0	4	0
Obama Obama	10 11	0	10	0	Obama Obama	10 12	0	10	0
Obama	16	0	11 15	0	Obama	17	0	11 15	0
Obama	10	0	9	0	Obama	10	0	9	0
Romney	0	6	0	6	McCain	0	6	0	6
Romney	0	10	0	10	McCain	0	11	0	10
Romney	0	3	0	4	McCain	0	3	0	4
Romney	0	5	0	5	McCain	1	4	1	4
Obama	6	0	6	0	Obama	5	0	6	0
Obama	4	0	4	0	Obama	4	0	4	0
Obama	14	0	14	0	Obama	15	0	14	0
Obama	5	0	5	0	Obama	5	0	5	0
Obama	29	0	28	0	Obama	31	0	28	0
Romney	0	15	0	16	Obama	15	0	16	0
Romney	0	3	0	3	McCain	0	3	0	3
Obama	18	0	17	0	Obama	20	0	17	0
Romney	0	7	0	7	McCain	0	7	0	7
Obama	7	0	8	0	Obama	7	0	8	0
Obama	20	0	19	0	Obama	21	0	19	0
Obama	4	0	3	0	Obama	4	0	3	0
Romney	0	9	0	9	McCain	0	8	0	9
Romney	0	3	0	3	McCain	0	3	0	3
Romney	0	11	0	11	McCain	0	11	0	11
Romney	0	38	0	41	McCain McCain	0	34	0	41
Romney	3	6	3	6	McCain	3	5	3	6
Obama Obama	13	0	13	0	Obama Obama	13	0	13	0
Obama	12	0	13	0	Obama	11	0	13	0
Romney	0	5	0	4	McCain	0	5	0	4
Obama	10	0	10	0	Obama	10	0	10	0
Romney	0	3	0	3	McCain	0	3	0	3
Obama	3	0	3	0	Obama	3	0	3	0
Obama	332	206	328	210	Obama	365	173	356	182
	002	200	-4	4		555	170	-9	9

20	04 Pres	idential	Electio	n	20	00 Pres	idential	tial Election		
				Revised					Revised	
		Electorial	Revised	Electorial			Electorial	Revised	Electorial	
2004	Electoral	Votes For	Electoral	Votes For	2000	Electoral	Votes For	Electoral	Votes For	
Presidential	Votes For	Bush	Votes For	Bush	Presidential	Votes For	Bush	Votes For	Bush	
Victor	Kerry (D)	(Rep)	Kerry (D)	(Rep)	Victor	Gore (D)	(Rep)	Gore (D)	(Rep)	
Bush	0	9	0	8	Bush	0	9	0	8	
Bush	0	3	0	3	Bush	0	3	0	3	
Bush	0	10	0	12	Bush	0	8	0	12	
Bush	0	6	0	6	Bush	0	6	0	6	
Kerry	55	0	54	0	Gore	54	0	54	0	
Bush	0	9	0	10	Bush	0	8	0	10	
Kerry	7	0	7	0	Gore	8	0	7	0	
Kerry	3	0	3	0	Gore	3	0	3	0	
Bush	0	27	0	31	Bush	0	25	0	31	
Bush	0	15	0	16	Bush	0	13	0	16	
Kerry	4	0	4	0	Gore	4	0	4	0	
Bush	0 21	4 0	0 19	0	Bush Gore	0 22	4 0	0 19	4	
Kerry Bush	0	11	19 0	11	Bush	0	12	19 0	0 11	
Bush	0	7	0	6	Gore	7	0	6	0	
Bush	0	6	0	6	Bush	0	6	0	6	
Bush	0	8	0	8	Bush	0	8	0	8	
Bush	0	9	0	8	Bush	0	9	0	8	
Kerry	4	0	4	0	Gore	4	0	4	0	
Kerry	10	0	10	0	Gore	10	0	10	0	
Kerry	12	0	11	0	Gore	12	0	11	0	
Kerry	17	0	15	0	Gore	18	0	15	0	
Kerry	9	0	8	0	Gore	10	0	9	0	
Bush	0	6	0	6	Bush	0	7	0	6	
Bush	0	11	0	10	Bush	0	11	0	10	
Bush	0	3	0	4	Bush	0	3	0	4	
Bush	0	5	0	5	Bush	0	5	0	5	
Bush	0	5	0	6	Bush	0	4	0	6	
Kerry	4	0	4	0	Bush	0	4	0	4	
Kerry	15	0	14	0	Gore	15	0	14	0	
Bush	0	5	0	5	Gore	5	0	5	0	
Kerry	31	0	28	0	Gore	33	0	28	0	
Bush	0	15	0	16	Bush	0	14	0	16	
Bush	0	3	0	3	Bush	0	3	0	3	
Bush	0	20	0	17	Bush	0	21	0	17	
Bush	<u> </u>	7	0 8	7	Bush	7	8	0 8	7	
Kerry					Gore					
Kerry Kerry	21 4	0	19 3	0	Gore Gore	23 4	0	19 3	0	
Bush	0	8	0	9	Bush	0	8	0	9	
Bush	0	3	0	3	Bush	0	3	0	3	
Bush	0	11	0	11	Bush	0	11	0	11	
Bush	0	34	0	41	Bush	0	32	0	41	
Bush	0	5	0	6	Bush	0	5	0	6	
Kerry	3	0	3	0	Gore	3	0	3	0	
Bush	0	13	0	13	Bush	0	13	0	13	
Kerry	11	0	12	0	Gore	11	0	12	0	
Bush	0	5	0	4	Bush	0	5	0	4	
Kerry	10	0	10	0	Gore	11	0	10	0	
Bush	0	3	0	3	Bush	0	3	0	3	
Kerry	3	0	3	0	Gore	2	0	2	0	
	251	286	239	298		266	271	246	291	
			-12	12				-20	20	